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**Abstract**

Long terminal repeat retrotransposons are major drivers of genome evolution and diversity, mostly localized in heterochromatic regions of chromosomes. *Musa* is an important fruit crop and also used as a starchy vegetable in many countries. BAC sequence analysis by dot plot was employed to investigate the LTR retrotransposons from *Musa* genomes. Fifty intact LTR retrotransposons from selected *Musa* BACs were identified by dot plot analysis and further BLASTN searches retrieved 153 intact copies, 61 truncated and a great number of partial copies/remnants from GenBank database. LARD-like elements were also identified with several copies dispersed among the *Musa* genotypes. The predominant elements were the LTR retrotransposons Copia and Gypsy, while Caulimoviridae (pararetrovirus) were rare in *Musa* genome. PCR amplification of reverse transcriptase (RT) sequences revealed their abundance in almost all tested *Musa* accessions and their ancient nature before the divergence of *Musa* species. The phylogenetic analysis based on RT sequences of *Musa* and other retrotransposons clustered them into Gypsy, Caulimoviridae and Copia lineages. Most of the *Musa* related elements clustered in their respective groups, while some grouped with other elements indicating homologous sequences. The present work will be helpful to understand the LTR retrotransposons landscape, their structural features, annotation and evolutionary dynamics in *Musa* genome.
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**Introduction**

Banana and plantains, the fourth most important tropical crop of the world, are herbaceous monocotyledonous plants of genus *Musa* of family *Musaceae* and order Zingiberales ([Tomlinson 1969](#_ENREF_215)). There are more than 1000 banana cultivars with a high genomic diversity and variability with most cultivated species as triploids with few as diploid and tetraploid genotypes ([Heslop-Harrison and Schwarzacher 2007](#_ENREF_83)). The genus *Musa* is divided into four sections on the basis of morphology and chromosomes numbers as Eumusa (n=11), Rhodochlamys (n=11), Callimusa (n=9/10) and Australimusa (n=10). Edible bananas belong to section Eumusa and are mostly sterile, parthenocarpic, triploid (2n=3x=33) hybrids (along with a few diploids and tetraploids) from *Musa acuminata* (A-genome) alone or in combination with B-genome diploid *M. balbisiana* ([Perrieret al. 2011](#_ENREF_176)). Cultivars have multiple origins from cultivated and wild cultivars by hybridisation ([Hippolyteet al. 2012](#_ENREF_85)). Most cooking types are inter-specific hybrids (AAB/ABB), while sweet dessert bananas are triploid *M. acuminata* (AAA) ([Pollefeyset al. 2004](#_ENREF_179); [Heslop-Harrison 2011](#_ENREF_79)).

Transposable elements (TEs) represent a very diverse group of sequences that are classified into two major classes (Class I retrotransposons and Class II DNA transposons) based on their mode of transposition. The Class I elements are further classified into super-families such as Copia, Gypsy, Retroviruses, Caulimovirus and Bel-Pao. Copia and Gypsy super-families are most predominant in plant and fungal genomes. Based on presence or absence of *gag-pol* protein coding domains, they are classified as complete (autonomous) or incomplete (non-autonomous) elements. Large retrotransposon derivatives (LARDs) are non-autonomous elements considered as deletion derivatives of autonomous LTR retrotransposons (Wicker et al. 2007; Defraia and Slotkin 2014; Nouroz 2015). Caulimoviruses (pararetroviruses) belong to Caulimoviridae superfamily, which replicate in plants via an RNA intermediate evolved from LTR retroelements (Bousalem et al. 2008; Llorens et al. 2011). Among TEs, the major proportion in plants is represented by long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons (REs), which reverse transcribe their RNA to generate DNA copy integration to new host sites. The LTR retrotransposons (LTR REs) in plants display 4-6 bp target site duplications (TSDs), few hundred bp to several kilobases LTRs, exhibit primer binding sites (PBS) and polypurine tract (PPT) at 5΄ and 3΄ respectively ([Eickbush and Jamburuthugoda 2008](#_ENREF_38); Wicker et al. 2007; Nouroz et al. 2015). Previous studies revealed that Caulimoviruses/pararetroviruses have evolved from LTR REs.

Genome expansions in various organisms are the consequences of both increase in TEs copy numbers and types from different superfamilies (Du et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2014). Whole genome sequencing has explored the ways to identify and characterize TEs in sequenced genomes and it was demonstrated that the half of the *Musa* genome is made up of TEs with LTR REs as the most dominant elements (>27.76%), followed by long interspersed elements (LINEs; 5.5%). The class 2 DNA TEs are rare (1.3%) in *Musa* and are mostly represented by hAT, Harbinger and Mutator superfamilies (D'Hont et al. 2012).

The LTR REs were investigated in many eukaryotic genomes and after developing the SSR, SSAP, IRAP, REMAP, ISSR and RAPD techniques, it becomes more feasible to study the diversity and landscape of LTR REs in various organisms ([Schulmanet al.](#_ENREF_198) 2012; Gyorgy et al. 2013; Izzatullayeva et al. 2014). In the recent years these transposon based markers are utilized in several plant genomes to study the biodiversity of plants like wheat ([Queenet al. 2004](#_ENREF_183)), *Rhodiola rosea* (Gyorgy et al. 2013), Sugar beet (Izzatullayeva et al. 2014), *Brassica* (Nouroz et al. 2015) and several other plants. In the last decade, due to their major role in genome evolution and duplication, the LTR REs were investigated in various genomes ([Schulmanet al.](#_ENREF_198) 2012).

The present study was conducted to identify the LTR retrotransposons in *Musa* BAC sequences, to study their structural diversity, evolutionary relationships and distribution in various *Musa* genotypes.

**Material and Methods**

Dot plot identification of LTR retrotransposons from *Musa* BACs

The present study involved an approach for the identification of LTR retrotransposons based on the dot plot comparison of BAC/genomic sequences against themselves. The *Musa* BACs were retrieved from NCBI database before June, 2014 and only BACs with LTR REs were selected for further analysis. Initially the candidates of full length elements were identified by running each BAC genomic sequence against itself in dot plot analysis in the Dotter program ([Sonnhammer and Durbin 1995](#_ENREF_207)). The central diagonal line extending from one corner of the dot plot to the diagonally opposite corner represents the homology of the sequence. The LTRs on both termini were represented by 2 small diagonal lines at opposite corners indicating 5´and 3´ LTRs. The boundaries of the elements were defined in BAC sequences, numbers of nucleotides in LTRs of each element were counted and TSDs were characterized by visual inspection.

Computational analysis and data mining for LTR retrotransposons

The intact or full length elements identified by dot plot analyses were further blasted against the *Musa* Nucleotide Collection (nr/nt) database (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch>) available in NCBI. The searches for LTR retrotransposons were performed in several steps to identify the intact, truncated, partial elements, solo LTRs and remnants. The intact or full length elements were defined as elements having both LTRs and internal *gag-pol* genes. In the second step, the complete elements were used as query to find the full length copies, truncated elements, partial or deleted elements and remnants, which were defined with small modifications according to the recommendations of Ma et al. (2004) and Nouroz et al. (2015). For the identification of conserved *gag-pol* gene encoding proteins, the nucleotide sequences were investigated in ‘Conserved Domain Database’ (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cdd.shtml>) implemented in NCBI. The PBS and PPT motifs were detected in the LTR\_FINDER by using parameter ‘Predict PBS by using *Zea mays* and *Oryza sativa* tRNA database’.

Characterization and naming of LTR retrotransposons

The Repbase ([Jurkaet al. 2005](#_ENREF_100)) and Gypsy databases ([Llorenset al. 2011](#_ENREF_134)) were used as reference databases to characterize the retrotransposons on homology basis. Elements that failed to be characterized by homology searches against TE databases were characterized by visual inspection on the basis of their structural hallmarks such as TSDs, LTRs and organization of their *gag-pol* encoding protein domains such as integrase (INT), reverse transcriptase (RT), RNaseH (RH) and envelope (ENV). The retrotransposons were classified as Copia, if they displayed *pol* gene as 5̒-INT-RT-RH-3̒, Gypsy as 5̒-RT-RH-INT-3̒, Retroviruses as 5̒-RT-RH-INT-ENV-3̒ and LARDs if they exhibit TSDs, LTRs and large non-coding internal regions without any known *gag-pol* gene domain. The names of the elements were given on the recommendations of Capy (2005) such as ***MaGYP1****,* where 1st letter ‘***M***’ indicates genera *Musa*, second letter ‘***a***’ indicates specie *acuminata*, 3 letters ‘***GYP***’ represent the superfamily (Gypsy) and the number ‘**1**’ indicates the number of the identified element.

Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs)

DNA samples from 48 *Musa* accession/genotypes (Table 1) were analysed for presence of LTR REs. The degenerate primer pairs (Table 2) designated as reverse transcriptase amplification polymorphism (RTAP) markers were designed from RT regions with online program Primer3 (<http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/>). PCR was conducted in 15 µl reaction mixture with 50-75 ng/µl genomic DNA + 10X buffer A (Kapa Biosystems, UK) + 1.0 mM MgCl2 + 2-2.5 mM dNTP (YORKBIO) + 10 pmoles of each primer (SIGMA-ALDRICH) + 0.5-1 U of 5U/µl Taq polymerase (Kapa Biosystems, UK). The thermal cycling conditions were 3 min denaturation at 94˚C; 35 cycles of 1 min denaturation at 94˚C, 1 min annealing at 52-64˚C (depending on primers) and 1 min extension at 72˚C; final 5 min extension at 72˚C. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel with TAE buffer and gels were stained with 1-2 µl ethidium bromide for the detection of DNA bands under UV illumination.

Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

The RT sequences from 77 known LTR REs (Supplementary Table) of Copia, Gypsy and Pararetroviruses superfamilies were collected from Gypsy database ([Llorenset al. 2011](#_ENREF_134)). The 33 RT sequences (~180-220 aa) were taken from identified *Musa* LTR retrotransposons and were aligned with 2 known elements (*Ty1-Copia, Ty3-Gypsy*) in CLUSTALW multiple alignment implemented in BioEdit, which were visually inspected and edited manually, if needed. Small insertions and deletions were removed and frame shifts were introduced. All 5´-3´ oriented RT regions were included in alignment, even if they have stop codons or frame shift mutations. The phylogenetic analyses were performed by constructing the un-rooted Neighbour-Joining trees with 1000 bootstrap replicates implemented in MEGA5 program (Tamura et al., 2011). The evolutionary distances were computed using the p-distance method. The methodology is summarized in Fig. 1.

**Results**

The LTR retrotransposons landscape in *Musa*

Fifty elements from 30 *Musa* BACs (column 3 of Table 3) were identified by dot plot analysis by plotting each BAC sequence against itself (Fig. 2). Of the fifty identified elements, 20 belonged to Gypsy, 19 to Copia, 1 to Caulimoviridae (Pararetroviruses) and 10 to LARD-like elements (Table 3). The search was extended by using these elements as query in BLASTN searches against *Musa* Nucleotide Collection (nr/nt) database of NCBI and all full length, truncated and partial copies were counted. A total of 16246 elements and their partial fragments from Copia, Gypsy, Caulimoviridae and LARDs were identified, of which 153 were intact (full length) elements with 58 from Gypsy, 48 from Copia, 1 Pararetrovirus and 46 from LARD-like elements. A total of 61 truncated elements, 635 partial elements, 258 solo LTRs and 15140 remnants were counted from *Musa* Nucleotide Collection (nr/nt) database deposited in NCBI database.

General Characteristics of *Musa* Gypsy retrotransposons

The Gypsy elements ranged in sizes from 3015–17804 bp, where smallest non-autonomous *MaGYP6* was 3015 bp large, while the largest autonomous *MbGYP20* was 17804 bp having nested structure (Table 3). Around 90% elements were found terminated by 5 bp TSDs, while rest (10%) showed 4 bp TSDs. The LTRs of the Gypsy ranged in sizes from 264–1105 bp with average size of 450-550 bp (Table 3). The *M. acuminata* BAC clone sequence ‘AC226035.1’ showed maximum copy numbers of retrotransposons among the investigated BACs, where 4 Copia, 3 Gypsy and 2 LARD-like elements were identified covering a total size of ~60 kb (58%) of 105 kb long BAC (Fig. 2). Another *M. acuminata* BAC sequence ‘AC226048.1’ harboured six Gypsy elements (*MaGYP8–MaGYP13*; Table 3) covering a total of ~31 kb (24%) of 134.5 kb BAC sequence. The partial copies or remnants from these elements further increased their size and percentage.

Structural features of the Gypsy Retrotransposons in *Musa*

The structural features of all Gypsy elements identified in present study were analysed in detail. *MaGYP1* (4982 bp) was flanked by 5 bp TSDs and 505 bp LTRs (Table 3). *MaGYP2* was identified as a non-autonomous element (3.8 kb) flanked by 5΄-543/527-3΄ bp LTRs. *MaGYP3* and *MaGYP4* showed high structural homology with sizes of 4.5 and 4.6 kb respectively and incorporated Transcriptional regulator (TR), Haemthiolate proteins (HP), Tymovirus proteins (TVP) and Hepadnavirus proteins (HVP) like additional proteins (Table 4). *MaGYP5* (6.25 kb) was found to be flanked by LTRs of 586 bp and displayed internally deleted *gag-pol* region with additional domains not common to REs (Table 4). *MaGYP6*, the smallest non-autonomous Gypsy was only 3 kb including 655 bp LTRs (Table 3). *MaGYP7* and *MaGYP9* (5.3 kb) were flanked by LTRs of 411-519 bp with small insertions in 5΄LTR. *MaGYP8* (5.9 kb) and *MaGYP10* (5.4 kb) displayed canonical *gag-pol* polyproteins structure (Table 4).

*MaGYP12* (5.76 kb) terminated by 671 bp LTRs (Table 3) encoded *gag-pol* gene domains as 5΄-AP-RT-RH-INT-3΄ with an additional Zinc knuckle (ZK) domain (Fig. 3a). *MaGYP13* (5.4 kb) was flanked by 1062 bp LTRs, displaying only RT domain with homology to the RT of Non-LTR retroelements. A 4.9 kb *MbGYP15* was identified in *M. balbisiana* flanked by 884 bp LTRs and displayed *gag-pol* genes (Table 4). The elements *MbGYP16*, *MaGYP17* and *MbGYP18* were 4.0, 6.1 and 7.4 kb large terminated by 4 bp TSDs, where *MaGYP17* encoded an additional CSP protein domain (Fig. 3a). *MbGYP19* (7.36 kb) was flanked by 1105 bp 5΄LTR and 883 bp 3΄LTR with AT rich insertion next to the downstream of 5΄LTR.

Structural features of *Musa* Copia elements

Nineteen intact Copia elements identified by dot plot analyses of *Musa* BACs were investigated in detail. *MaCOP1* and *MbCOP19* showed homologies in their molecular structures with 5.3 and 5.2 kb sizes, flanked by LTRs of 605 and 592 bp respectively (Table 3) encoding the conserved protein domains of 5΄-INT-RT-RH-3΄ (Table 4). *MaCOP2* (4.8 kb) displayed a PBS, canonical *gag-pol* genes and PPT upstream to 3΄LTR. *MaCOP4* (4.0 kb) was identified from *M. acuminata* with only INT and an additional ZK domain in its structure (Table 4). *MaCOP5* and *MaCOP17* with structural homologies and lengths (8.1 kb) were flanked by LTRs of 5΄-1285/1201-3΄ and 5΄-1000/1324-3΄ bp respectively. *MaCOP6* and *MaCOP9* though ~7.0 kb in sizes lacked the *pol* polyproteins except RT. *MaCOP7* (5.0 kb) flanked by 5΄-144/149-3΄ bp LTRs have shown the shortest LTRs in present study. *MaCOP8* and *MaCOP14* ranged 6.0 kb in size, flanked by 5΄-499/500-3΄ and 5΄-492/548-3΄ bp LTRs respectively. *MaCOP10* and *MaCOP11* were 8.7 and 8.4 kb large elements, where *MaCOP10* was found terminated by 1597 bp LTRs while *MaCOP11* (Fig. 3a) was flanked by 5΄-1494/1388-3΄ LTRs. *MaCOP12* and *MaCOP13* were 7.1 and 5.9 kb large elements, flanked by 5΄-1238/1132-3΄ and 5΄-573/548-3΄ bp respectively. *MbCOP15* and *MaCOP16* displayed the ORF encoding the *gag-pol* products (GAG-INT-RT-RH). *MbCOP18* (9.8 kb) investigated in *M. balbisiana* accession ‘AC226052.1’ was terminated by long (5΄-1415/1396-3΄ bp) LTRs (Fig. 3a; Table 3).

Structural features of *Musa* Caulimovirus (Pararetrovirus)

An 11.1 kb large element was investigated from *M. acuminata* BAC sequence (AC226046.1), flanked by largest LTRs (3.8 kb) investigated in present study. The element was named as *MaCVI* (*Musa acuminata* chromovirus). *MaCVI* was characterized by having 3.8 kb LTRs, an internal region containing the PBS, *pol* gene encoding the AP, RT and RH domains and a PPT adjacent to 3΄LTR with two additional protein domains (Fig. 3a). The PBS of *MaCVI* was found different from all other Copia and Gypsy elements described here with tRNAGly (unusual RNA type). A 15 bp PPT upstream to 3΄LTR was found with different sequence structure compared to other elements (Table 4).

Structural features of LARD-like elements

Despite of several autonomous retroelements, non-autonomous LARD-like elements were also characterized (Table 3) by having 4-5 bp TSDs, LTRs, exhibiting PBS/PPT motifs and internal non-coding regions. *MaLAR1* harboured in *M. acuminata* accession (AY484588.1) was 4564 bp large, flanked by 4 bp TSD and 447 bp LTRs (Fig. 3a). *MbLAR2* (4428 bp) is another homologue of *MaLAR1* identified from *M. balbisiana* flanked by 445 bp LTRs. *MbLAR3* shared structural homology with *MbLAR5* and *MbLAR6* with a size of 4.4 kb, displaying LTRs of 382-383 bp. *MaLAR4* was 4.3 kb including the LTRs (5΄-607/611-3΄) and flanked by 5 bp imperfect TSDs. *MaLAR7* and *MaLAR8* showed similar structural features having 4.5 kb size. *MbLAR9* identified from *M. balbisiana* BAC (AC186754.1) was 7.7 kb element with no detectable PBS and PPT motifs. It displayed an unknown insertion and a non-autonomous hAT element with two additional solo LTRs (Fig. 3a). *MaLAR10* was smallest LARD-like element (4 kb) studied here displaying LTRs of 5΄-974/984-3΄ bp.

Nested LTR retrotransposons structures in *Musa*

Two Gypsy-like LTR REs identified in present study have shown complex nested structures, where 1 or more TEs or unidentifiable sequences were found inserted within the element. *MaGYP14* (11.6 kb) was found flanked by 624 bp LTRs, exhibiting *pol* gene domains as 5΄-AP-RT-RH-INT-3΄, with ~1.7 kb additional transcriptional regulator protein and a GC rich unknown insertion of ~2.3 kb (Table 3). The most complex structure was observed in *MbGYP20* (17.8 kb), which showed a nested structure of 3 insertions and 2 solo LTRs (Fig. 3b). One insertion was 9.6 kb Gypsy, where another unknown insertion of 4.5 kb was inserted in opposite orientation. *MaCOP3* (16.2 kb) was found inserted in *M. acuminata* BAC (AC226035.1) displaying a complex nested structure of LTR REs (Fig. 3b). A 5.3 kb *MaCOP1* element was inserted in *MaCOP3* starting from 3203-8492 bp. The outer element *MaCOP3* (10.9 kb) showed an insertion towards 5΄ LTR and was flanked by 5΄-338/299-3΄ bp LTRs, while the inserted element *MaCOP1* was terminated by 605 bp LTRs.

The *gag-pol* polyprotein organization in *Musa* LTR retrotransposons

The organization of *gag-pol* protein domains of Gypsy REs revealed 2 patterns (canonical and defective) and 14 sub-patterns of domain organizations (Table 4). The canonical Gypsy domain structure (5΄GAG-RT-RH-INT-3΄) was observed in 6 elements. A single element *MaGYP6* encoded a *gag* protein only, *MaGYP2* showed *gag* and a transcriptional regulator (TR) domain, *MaGYP13* encoded RT only and *MbGYP18* displayed 5΄-AP-RT-3΄. The five elements (*MaGYP7, MaGYP9, MaGYP11, MaGYP15* and *MaGYP16)* lacked the INT domain, while RT and RH domainswere absent in *MaGYP1*. Three elements *MaGYP8*, *MaGYP10* and *MaGYP17* showed similar domain pattern (5΄-GAG-AP-RT-RH-INT-CHR-3΄) with one or other extra domain. *MbGYP20* showed a complex organization of protein domains due to nested retrotransposons structures as 5΄-GAG-AP-(3΄-CMV-RH-DUF-5΄)-DUF-CMV-RT-RH-CHR-3΄ (Fig. 3b; Table 4).

The Copia *gag-pol* protein structural organization revealed seven sub-patterns of the two main patterns (canonical and defective). The canonical pattern of Copia protein domain organization is 5΄-GAG-INT-RT-RH-3΄, observed in almost 90% of the elements with one less or extra domain. *MaCOP6* and *MaCOP11* encoded only a RT domain, while *MaCOP17* showed a slightly different pattern 5΄-GAG-RT-RH-MT-3΄, where additional Mannosyl transferase (MT) protein was replaced with INT. A nested LTR RE *MaCOP3* showed a complex pattern 5΄-GAG-AP-INT-RT-RH/GAG-INT-RT-RH-3΄, where two sets of proteins domains were detected encoded by 2 different Copia elements. The other 13 elements showed the canonical Copia protein organization (5΄-INT-RT-RH-3΄) (Table 4). All the LARDs elements were investigated for their *gag-pol* genes but no identifiable *gag-pol* gene protein domains were detected.

PBS and PPT pattern of *Musa* retrotransposons

The 15-18 bp PBS located downstream to 5΄LTR and its reverse compliment PPT located adjacent to the 3΄LTR were detected (Table 4) by scanning the LTR RE against *Zea mays* tRNA database. A total of 80% and 75% elements showed the presence of 14-18 bp PBS and 15 bp PPT respectively, 10% showed PPT only, while remaining 10% failed to detect any PBS or PPT by scanning tRNA of *Zea mays*, which were than scanned against *Oryza sativa* tRNA database and their PBS and PPT were obtained (Table 4). *MaGYP2* lacked PPT, while PBS was not detected in *MaGYP6* and *MbGYP19*. Seven different tRNA types were investigated in Gypsy elements with tRNAMet, as most frequent type present in 30% of the elements followed by tRNAAsn, found in 20% elements (Table 4). The PBS and PPT structures of Copia elements revealed that 95% elements showed 14-18 bp PBS except *MaCOP14* (Table 4). Eight different types of tRNA types were observed in all Copia elements investigated, with tRNAMet as most common tRNA typedetected in 40% of the elements; followed by tRNAVal, observed in 20% elements. All the other 6 types of tRNA contributed 5% ofthe tRNA type. PPT adjacent to the 3΄LTR was detected in 90% of all Copia elements except *MaCOP6* and *MaCOP14* (Table 4). The PBS and PPT motifs in LARDs revealed that out of 10 individual elements, only 2 elements (20%) *MaLAR7* and *MaLAR8* displayed the PBS and PPT motifs in their 5΄and 3΄LTRs respectively (Table 4).

PCR amplification of retrotransposons in *Musa* genomes

The presence and abundance of Gypsy elements in 48 diverse *Musa* genotypes were determined by reverse transcriptase amplification polymorphism (RTAP) in using PCR. The primers were designed from conserved RT regions (Table 2). Of the 48 *Musa* genotypes (Table 1), 6 *M.* *acuminata* (AA), 6 *M. balbisiana* (BB), 3 hybrids (AB), 8 triploid *M. acuminata* (AAA), 19 (AAB) and 6 (ABB) allotriploids were used to analyze the presence of LTR REs in them. The primer pair MaGYP8F/R (Table 2) was used to amplify 684 bp RT region of *MaGYP8* family. The products were amplified from all *M. acuminata* (AA) (Calcutta 4, Sannachenkadali, Pisanglilin, Kadali, Matti, Cherukadali), *M. balbisiana* (BB) (PKW1, PKW2, Javan, Klutuk, Tani, Batu), AB genomes (Njalipovan, Adukkan, Padalamukili), AAA (Manoranjitham, Grand Nain, Gross Michel, Greenred, Red, Monsmari, Robusta, Dwarf Cavendish), AAB (Motta Povan, Karimkadali, Perumadali, Kunoor Ettan, Palyamcodan, Mysoreettan, Krisnavazhai, Poovan, Doothsagar, Charapadati, Kumbillakannan, Velipadati, Vellapalayamcodan, Ettapadati, Padati, Chinali, Nendran, Poomkalli, Kamaramasengi) and ABB genotypes (Kosta Bontha, Peyan, Kanchikela, Boothibale, Monthan, Karpooravali) (Fig. 4a). This showed the ancient nature of this element, which was present in a common ancestor predating the separation of A and B-genome *Musa*. The RT based amplification polymorphism of *MaGYP12* family revealed its amplification from all 47 *Musa* accessions except *M. acuminata* (Calcutta 4), where no amplification suggests its absence or recent swept from the genome (Fig. 4b). The 835 bp RT regions of *MaGYP17* family were amplified from all the 48 *Musa* accessions (Fig. 4c) by primer pair MaGYP17F/R. The amplification of various Gypsy elements from *Musa* genotypes revealed their distribution in almost all regardless of A or B-genome specificity (Fig. 4a-c).

The availability of various members of Copia superfamilies were investigated in 48 *Musa* accessions (Table 1) by PCR analysis. The primer pair MaCOP5F/R (Table 2) amplified a 744 bp RT region in all *M. acuminata* (AA), *M. balbisiana* (BB), AB, AAA, AAB and ABB genotypes (Fig. 4d). A 964 bp *MaCOP8* RT genomic sequence was amplified in PCR by primer pair MaCOP8F/R from all 48 diploid and triploid *Musa* genotypes with weak and strong signals in various genotypes (Fig. 4e). The abundance of Caulimovirus named *MaCVI* was examined in various *Musa* genotypes by PCR analysis. The primer pair MACVIF/R was designed to amplify a 425 bp RT sequence, which revealed that the product was amplified from all *Musa* genotypesexcept *M. balbisiana* (BB) accession ‘PKW2’ (Fig. 4f).

Phylogenetic relationships of *Musa* and other plant LTR retrotransposons

The phylogenetic relationships of 33 RT sequences of *Musa* LTR REs and 2 known elements (Ty1-Copia, Ty3-Gypsy) were performed in MEGA5. Two main lineages separated the Copia, Gypsy/Caulimoviridae (Pararetrovirus) elements with 19 and 16 elements respectively (Fig. 5). The Copia lineage is further resolved into two groups with 2 (*MaCOP6, MaCOP9*) and 17 elements in respective groups. Of the 17 Copia, the Ty1-Copia from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* out-grouped from rest of *Musa* Copia. Some Copia elements clustered on same or sister branches due to high homologies in their RT sequences (Fig. 5). Of the Gypsy lineage, Ty3-Gypsy from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* out-grouped from *Musa* Gypsy elements. *MACV1* from Caulimoviridae also out-grouped from *Musa* Gypsy elements. The RT sequences of *MACV1* and Gypsy indicated homology in their sequences, yet they are distinct from Copia. Most of the RT sequences from *M. acuminata* and *M. balbisiana* showed homology to each other and are resolved on same or sister branches (Fig. 5).

The evolutionary relationships of *Musa* and other organism based LTR REs were performed by constructing a phylogenetic tree of 110 RT sequences (Fig. 6), of which 33 were collected from *Musa* LTR REs of present study, while other 77 were from various organisms and were collected from Gypsy database (Supplementary Table). The evolutionary history was reconstructed by the un-rooted Neighbor-joining method with 1000 bootstrap replicates, where strong bootstrap values supported the monophyletic origin of Gypsy and Copia retrotransposons, yet the three main lineages (shown by different colours and shapes in Fig. 6) separate the Gypsy, Copia and Caulimoviruses indicating distinct homology and no recombination between the sequences of these superfamilies. The Gypsy clustered 40, Copia 46 and Caulimoviruses 24 elements in their respective lineages. Of the Gypsy lineage, the *Ty3-Gypsy* from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Gypsy* element from *Drosophila melanogaster* out-grouped. Most *Musa* Gypsy elements clustered in *Musa* specific groups except few elements (Fig. 6) as *MaGYP12* clustered together with *CRM* of *Zea mays*, *MaGYP14* with *Gloin* of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *MbGYP19* with *Cereba* of *Hordeum vulgare*. The caulimoviridae constituted close lineage to Gypsy lineage with 24 elements, where *PCSV* out-grouped and misfits near Copia elements. *MaCV1* formed a sister family with other caulimoviridae members as *BSOLV, CSSV, KTSV, BSGFV* and *BSVAV* (Supplementary Table). In Copia lineage, *Ty1-Copia* and *Ty2* from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* out-grouped. In most cases, the *Musa* specific Copia clustered in their respective families, while others (*MaCOP2, MaCOP15, MaCOP17*) shared families with other members as *MaCOP2* grouped with *Araco* of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *MaCOP15* with *Tork-4* of *Solanum lycopersicum* and *MaCOP17* with *TSI-9* of *Setaria italica* (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

One of the major sources of genomic variations are the repetitive DNA sequences ([Bennetzen, 2000](#_ENREF_12); Biscotti et al. 2015). The genome of *Musa* is also rich in LTR REs belonging to Copia, Gypsy and Caulimovirus superfamilies (D'Hont et al. 2012; Davey et al. 2013). As the genome sequencing is progressing and updated, there is a need to discover the TEs especially LTR REs, which are major drivers of gene and genome evolution, and the BAC sequence analysis provides valuable reference sequences, uninfluenced by heterozygosity and presence of multiple copies throughout the genome. D'Hont et al. (2012) identified the composition of TEs in *M. acuminata* genotype DH-Pahang, finding Copia in high proportion followed by Gypsy and LINEs. The DNA transposons were very rare representing Harbinger, Mutator and hAT families; Menzel et al. (2015) found only 70 hAT elements although the related MITEs were amplified to much higher copy numbers. The most active DNA transposons identified from other angiosperms plants like Mariner, Harbinger and CACTA were very rare in *Musa*, although recent studies confirmed the abundance of Harbinger (Nouroz et al., 2016) and CACTA (Nouroz et al., 2017) elements in plants like *Brassica*. A study showed 26.85% of LTR REs in *Musa balbisiana* genotype ‘PKW’ with Copia and Gypsy as dominant superfamilies. The percentages of LINEs and DNA transposons were less and similar in A and B genome *Musa* (Davey et al. 2013).

The present study involved identification and description of Copia, Gypsy, Caulimoviruses and LARD-like elements in *Musa* BACs, as previous analyses were superficial or have focussed on selected repeats and revealed that 30-50% *Musa* genome is comprised of repetitive sequences ([Hribovaet al. 2010](#_ENREF_86); D'Hont et al. 2012). The approach of comparative analysis of BAC sequences by dot plot was effective and highly informative to identify the LTR REs in the sequenced genome of *Musa*. This strategy helped in the identification of most of the elements present in *Musa* BAC sequences, which otherwise are not possible to precisely detect with other softwares such as LTR\_FINDER, LTR\_STRUC and LTR\_harvest due to various genomic deformations of these retroelements structures. In the initial effort, 50 intact elements from three main superfamilies (Copia, Gypsy and Caulimoviruses) were identified. Further BLAST analysis using these full length elements retrieved a total of 153 intact elements from 6 Mbp of *Musa* BACs screened. The intact copies (listed in Table 3) covered 15-18% of the genome surveyed, which is further strengthening the investigations revealing high repetitive proportions found in the *Musa* genome analysis using short reads from 454 sequencing ([Hribovaet al. 2010](#_ENREF_86)) and BAC-end sequencing ([Cheung and Town 2007](#_ENREF_24)). About 61 truncated copies, 635 partial copies, 258 solo LTRs and 16246 small fragments (remnants) were also identified; precise alignment of truncated or partial copies is not possible due to deletions and the high numbers, but their contribution to the *Musa* genome was counted. Such deleted elements and insertions in LTR REs are common in plants like rice (Ma et al. 2004) and *Arabidopsis* (Devos et al. 2002). Most of the deletions or insertions in the intact elements were bounded by terminal duplications of few bp. Such terminal duplications were observed around the deletions within retroelements from *Arabidopsis* (Devos et al. 2002). The numbers of partial copies, truncated elements and remnants (mentioned above) were very high in our study in comparison to the full length copies. The low copy number of full length LTR REs in comparison to partial or deleted copies were obvious from other plants such as only 583 full length LTR REs were identified from *Elaeis guineensis* genome (Beule at al. 2015). The full length elements of current study ranged in sizes from 4-17.8 kb with flanking LTRs of 149 bp to 3.8 kb. These findings are in accordance to the study of LTR REs in *Medicago truncatula*, where the elements ranged in size from 4-18.7 kb with similar sized LTRs (Wang and Liu 2008).

A Caulimovirus element (*MaCV1*) residing in *Musa* genome displayed the structural features common to Caulimoviruses present in many plant genomes including *Musa* and potato. Three families of such Pararetroviruses were isolated from potato genome and their distributions on chromosomes were studied by fluorescent in situ hybridization ([Hansenet al. 2005](#_ENREF_74)). The RT alignment and phylogenetic analysis revealed that *MaCV1* formed sister lineage with Gypsy elements suggesting homology in their sequences, but detail analysis showed that both followed different evolutionary pathways. In *Brassica*, the virus-like elements grouped with Gypsy lineage indicating their common ancestral origin but they also followed two different evolutionary pathways ([Alix and Heslop-Harrison 2004](#_ENREF_3)). The clustering of most of the *Musa* related sequences in their respective families revealed separate line of evolutionary history, while in few cases the elements shared sequence similarity with other elements and thus were clustered with them. The domain organization of the elements also varied, consistent with earlier studies: Copia-like elements were 5΄-AP-INT-RT-RH-3΄, Gypsy-like elements 5΄-AP-RT-RH-INT-3΄, and caulimoviruses showed 5΄-ORF-AP-RT-RH-3΄ domain pattern ([Hansen and Heslop-Harrison 2004](#_ENREF_75); Wicker et al. 2007).

The LARD-like elements were frequent in *Musa* genome and their abundance indicated that like other LTR REs, they are major component of these genomes. Despite of lacking their internal coding domains, several copies were detected in *Musa* BACs. We cannot fully answer the question which LTR REs superfamily these LARDs belong to and who is borrowing them their coding domains (machinery) for transposition and integration to a new site. But comparing the elements with known TE sequences and structural homology, it was revealed that both Gypsy and Copia are the progenitors of these elements. The previous studies revealed that LARDs constitute major proportion of several genomes as identified in *Medicago truncatula* and *Brassica* ([Wang and Liu 2008](#_ENREF_227); Nouroz 2015).

In the present work, RT-PCR experiments have demonstrated that Gypsy and Copia retrotransposon families were amplified from all diploids and triploids *Musa* genotypes tested. This confirmed the ancient and conserved nature of RT sequences which evolved pre-separation of A and B *Musa* and transduplication of various *Musa* genomes. Similar ratios of RT were investigated in various members of family Asteraceae (Docking et al. 2006). The present study is evident that very few elements were species specific, either in A-genome *M. acuminata* or B-genome *M. balbisiana*, while the majority were present in both. The PBS and PPT motifs were detected in most elements, while in few either PBS or PPT was missing or might be deleted. The tRNAMet wasthe most commonly used type in both superfamilies as was investigated in *M. truncatula* ([Wang and Liu 2008](#_ENREF_227)). Some of the retrotransposons of present study acquired an extra protein domain without any role in their transposition, such domains are harboured by retrotransposons in other plants ([Haveckeret al. 2004](#_ENREF_76)).

**Conclusion**

The present study described several novel LTR REs in *Musa* genome including their structural features, protein domain organization, pattern of PBS/PPT motifs, evolutionary dynamics and percentage in their host genome by their transduplication. The results indicated that individual LTR REs families have distinct behaviour, genomic organizations and actively proliferating in their host genomes. This work provided references of single elements, with the description and annotation of major portions of retrotransposons, and a valuable advance in the quest to unravel the genomics of LTR REs in general and their evolutionary dynamics in *Musa* in particular.
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**Legends to figures**

FIG. 1. Flow chart representing the methodology used in present work from identification to phylogenetic analysis of LTR REs in *Musa*. BACs: Bacterial artificial chromosomes. LTR REs: LTR retrotransposons. CDD: Conserved domain database. LARDs: Large retrotransposons derivatives. PBS: Primer binding site. PPT: Polypurine tract. RT: Reverse transcriptase.

FIG. 2. Dot plot of *Musa acuminata* (AC226035.1) against itself to identify LTR retrotransposons. The central diagonal line running from one corner to other showed the homology of the sequence against itself. The coloured boxes on the diagonal line showed the positions of LTR retrotransposons insertions with LTRs. Four Copia, three Gypsy and two LARD-like elements are inserted with a total size of ~60 kb of the 105 kb BAC size covering 58.5% of total BAC sequence. The nested structure of LTR retrotransposon is also shown in purplesquare.

FIG. 3.a) Schematic representation of few retrotransposons in *Musa*. The red arrowheads at the corners represent the TSDs, while blue arrows indicate TIRs. The *gag*-*pol* regions are drawn with their protein domains. Scale is measuring the lengths of the elements (bp). Additional insertions or unknown sequences are represented by different colours. b) A 17.8 kb large *MaGYP20* is drawn with other Gypsy and a DNA transposon inserted in it. A 16.2 kb *MaCOP3* is shown with 5.2 kb inserted Copia element in it. AP: Aspartic protease. RT: Reverse transcriptase. INT: Integrase. GAG: gag-nucleocapsid. ZK: Zinc knuckle. DUF: Domain of unknown function. CHR: Chromatin organization modifier. CMV. Cauliflower mosaic virus. PR: Hypothetical protein. UN: Unknown.

FIG. 4. PCR analysis for the detection of retrotransposons RT polymorphisms across 48 cultivars in *Musa.* Dark bands are indicating the expected products. The amplification of a) *MaGYP8* b) *MaGYP12* c) *MaGYP17* d) *MaCOP5* e) *MaCOP8* f) *MaCV1.* PCR figures show reversed images of size-separated ethidium bromide-stained DNA on agarose gels after electrophoresis. Ladders (HP-I) show fragment sizes in base pairs; the diploid and triploid *Musa* genomes represented on the top of Lanes (AA, BB, AB, AAA, AAB, ABB) and the numbers at the base are given in Table 1.

FIG. 5. Phylogenetic analysis of *Musa* LTR retrotransposon. The 33 RT sequences from intact elements from *Musa* and 2 known sequences (*Ty1-Copia, Ty3-Gypsy*) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were used to construct the phylogenetic tree.Neighbor-joining tree was constructed with 1000 bootstrap replicates in MEGA5 program. The p distance model was used to calculate the genetic distance. The two major lineages separate the Gypsy (represented by black rhombus)/ Pararetrovirus elements (green square) and Copia (blue circles). The detailed descriptions of the elements are given in the Table 1.Ma: *Musa acuminata*. Mb: *Musa blabisiana*. COP: Copia. GYP: Gypsy. CV: Chromoviridae *MaCVI*: *Musa acuminata* chromovirideae.

FIG. 6. Phylogenetic tree showing relationship between RT nucleotide sequences of *Musa* and other plants. Of the 110 RT sequences, 33 sequences are from *Musa* and remaining 77 (Supplementary Table) are from known plant retrotransposons collected from Gypsy database. The tree was inferred by using Neighbor-joining method in MEGA5, where p distance model was used to calculate the genetic distance. The 1000 bootstrap replicates were used and the values <50% are not shown. The three main lineages separate the Gypsy (represented by black filled and open rhombus), Copia (blue filled and open circles) and Caulimoviruses (blue filled and open squares). The *Musa* specific elements from Gypsy, Copia and Caulimoviruses are represented by filled shapes, while open shapes are representing elements from other organisms. The details of the *Musa* elements are given in the Table 1 and other plant elements in supplementary Table. Ma: *Musa acuminata*. Mb: *Musa balbisiana*. COP: Copia. GYP: Gypsy. *MaCVI*: *Musa acuminata* chromovirideae.

TABLE 1.*Musa* accessions used with their names and genomic compositions. Sr. No. Serial Number

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr.No.** | **Reference** | **Genome** | **Accession Name** | **Sr.No.** | **Reference** | **Genome** | **Accession Name** |
| 1 | Eumusa | AA | Calcutta 4 | 25 | Eumusa | AAB | Karimkadali |
| 2 | Eumusa | AA | Sannachenkadali | 26 | Eumusa | AAB | Perumadali |
| 3 | Eumusa | AA | Pisanglilin | 27 | Eumusa | AAB | Kunoor ettan |
| 4 | Eumusa | AA | Kadali | 28 | Eumusa | AAB | Palyamcodan |
| 5 | Eumusa | AA | Matti | 29 | Eumusa | AAB | Mysoreettan |
| 6 | Eumusa | AA | Cherukadali | 30 | Eumusa | AAB | Krisnavazhai |
| 7 | Eumusa | BB | Pisang Klutuk Wulung 1 | 31 | Eumusa | AAB | Poovan |
| 8 | Eumusa | BB | Pisang Klutuk Wulung 2 | 32 | Eumusa | AAB | Doothsagar |
| 9 | Eumusa | BB | Javan | 33 | Eumusa | AAB | Charapadati |
| 10 | Eumusa | BB | Klutuk | 34 | Eumusa | AAB | Kumbillakannan |
| 11 | Eumusa | BB | Tani | 35 | Eumusa | AAB | Velipadati |
| 12 | Eumusa | BB | Batu | 36 | Eumusa | AAB | Vellapalayamcodan |
| 13 | Eumusa | AB | Njalipovan | 37 | Eumusa | AAB | Ettapadati |
| 14 | Eumusa | AB | Adukkan | 38 | Eumusa | AAB | Padati |
| 15 | Eumusa | AB | Padalamukili | 39 | Eumusa | AAB | Chinali |
| 16 | Eumusa | AAA | Manoranjitham | 40 | Eumusa | AAB | Nendran |
| 17 | Eumusa | AAA | Grandnain | 41 | Eumusa | AAB | Poomkalli |
| 18 | Eumusa | AAA | Gross-michel | 42 | Eumusa | AAB | Kamaramasengi |
| 19 | Eumusa | AAA | Greenred | 43 | Eumusa | ABB | Kosta bontha |
| 20 | Eumusa | AAA | Red | 44 | Eumusa | ABB | Peyan |
| 21 | Eumusa | AAA | Monsmari | 45 | Eumusa | ABB | Kanchikela |
| 22 | Eumusa | AAA | Robusta | 46 | Eumusa | ABB | Boothibale |
| 23 | Eumusa | AAA | Dwarf Cavendish | 47 | Eumusa | ABB | Monthan |
| 24 | Eumusa | AAB | Motta povan | 48 | Eumusa | ABB | Karpooravali |

TABLE 2.PCR primer pairs to amplify the RT region of Gypsy, Copia and Caulimovirus (CV) elements.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr. No.** | **Super-**  **Family** | **TE Family** | **Product**  **size (bp)** | **Primer name** | **Primer Sequence** |
| 1 | Gypsy | *MaGYP8* | 684 | MaGYP8F  MaGYP8R | CTTCTCGGCAACATGACCA  GGTCTACCGCCACTCCTTC |
| 2 | Gypsy | *MaGYP12* | 830 | MaGYP12F  MaGYP12R | CCAATTCCCACATTAGATGC  GAGAGCATGAGTCATTGTGC |
| 3 | Gypsy | *MaGYP17* | 835 | MaGYP17F  MaGYP17R | GCAGCTCAAAAGCACCTTTC  CCAATAGCAAAGTCCGAAGC |
| 4 | Copia | *MaCOP5* | 744 | MaCOP5F  MaCOP5R | CTTAGTCGCAGTACTCATAG  TGGAAGCTTGTTCCTAGACC |
| 5 | Copia | *MaCOP8* | 964 | MaCOP8F  MaCOP8R | CTTTCACAATGGGAGCAACA  GTTGAACCACAAGTTCCTCA |
| 6 | CV | *MACV1* | 425 | MACV1F  MACV1R | CAACTACAAGAGGCTGAACG  CTATTTCCTTGACTGCTATC |

TABLE 3. Superfamilies of LTR retrotransposons identified from *Musa* with their sizes, TSDs, LTRs, positions and orientations in BAC clone sequences. Asterisks after TSD show variable TSDs at 5′-3′. ND: Not determined.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Element name** | **Super-family** | **BAC Accession** | **Species** | **Size** | **TSD** | **LTR** | **Position in BAC** | **Orient-ation** |
| *MaGYP1* | Gypsy | AC226032.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4982 | CCCGG | 505/505 | 65772-70752 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP2* | Gypsy | AC226033.1 | *M. acuminate* | 3802 | AGATG | 543/527 | 28867-32673 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP3* | Gypsy | AC226035.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4567 | ATGAG | 458/458 | 27408-31974 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP4* | Gypsy | AC226035.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4627 | TAGGA | 458/458 | 41793-46419 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP5* | Gypsy | AC226035.1 | *M. acuminate* | 6245 | ACTTC | 586/586 | 48237-54481 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP6* | Gypsy | AC186752.1 | *M. acuminate* | 3015 | TATGT\* | 655/655 | 62134-65148 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP7* | Gypsy | AC226046.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5326 | AATAT | 462/411 | 116297-121622 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaGYP8* | Gypsy | AC226048.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5907 | TGTTT | 473/473 | 1861-7767 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP9* | Gypsy | AC226048.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5318 | AGACG | 481/506 | 12597-17915 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP10* | Gypsy | AC226048.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5435 | GAGAT | 438/438 | 24477-29911 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaGYP11* | Gypsy | AC226048.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5319 | AGACG | 481/519 | 12597-17915 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaGYP12* | Gypsy | AC226048.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5760 | CTGAC | 671/671 | 36420-42179 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaGYP13* | Gypsy | AC226048.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5418 | AAACT\* | 1062/1063 | 123405-128822 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaGYP14* | Gypsy | AC186950.2 | *M. acuminate* | 11605 | CCAGT | 624/624 | 9314-20918 | 3′-5′ |
| *MbGYP15* | Gypsy | AC226053.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 4940 | GTTAA\* | 883/884 | 121237-126176 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbGYP16* | Gypsy | AC226051.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 4014 | TAAA | 265/264 | 125881-129840 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaGYP17* | Gypsy | AC226196.1 | *M. acuminate* | 6436 | TCCT | 792/792 | 10198-16633 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbGYP18* | Gypsy | AP009325.2 | *M. balbisiana* | 7108 | GCACC\* | 374/383 | 45693-52799 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbGYP19* | Gypsy | AP009334.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 7368 | GGTAT | 1105/883 | 44828-52195 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbGYP20* | Gypsy | AP009325.2 | *M. balbisiana* | 17804 | GCCAC | 393/351 | 79527-97303 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP1* | Copia | AC226035.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5290 | CTGCA | 605/605 | 79036-84325 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaCOP2* | Copia | AC226035.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4808 | TCTCT | 358/360 | 70977-75784 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaCOP3* | Copia | AC226035.1 | *M. acuminate* | 16242 | GAGG | 338/299 | 75834-92033 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaCOP4* | Copia | AC226038.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4022 | CCATA | 261/263 | 35057-39078 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP5* | Copia | AC226038.1 | *M. acuminate* | 8158 | CATAA | 1201/1285 | 63867-72094 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP6* | Copia | AC226038.1 | *M. acuminate* | 7036 | GAATC | 452/472 | 100169-107204 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP7* | Copia | AC226041.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5012 | ACTAA | 149/144 | 2059-7070 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP8* | Copia | AC226044.1 | *M. acuminate* | 6019 | GGATT | 499/500 | 40359-46377 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP9* | Copia | AC226047.1 | *M. acuminate* | 6959 | GGTTT | 526/530 | 68302-75260 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaCOP10* | Copia | AC226047.1 | *M. acuminate* | 8767 | TGTAT | 1597/1597 | 15958-24725 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP11* | Copia | AC226051.1 | *M. acuminate* | 8478 | AAAG | 1494/1388 | 34505-42982 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaCOP12* | Copia | AC226051.1 | *M. acuminate* | 7176 | AGCGA\* | 1132/1238 | 118482-125657 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP13* | Copia | AC226051.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5938 | ND | 548/573 | 119071-125008 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP14* | Copia | AC186753.1 | *M. acuminate* | 6054 | GAAAT\* | 492/548 | 28872-34925 | 3′-5′ |
| *MbCOP15* | Copia | AC226053.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 4980 | ACCTT | 449/449 | 100799-105778 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP16* | Copia | AC226040.1 | *M. acuminate* | 5424 | GCAAC | 438/406 | 40145-45568 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaCOP17* | Copia | AC226196.1 | *M. acuminate* | 8084 | GATAT\* | 1024/1000 | 50839-58921 | 3′-5′ |
| *MbCOP18* | Copia | AC226052.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 9878 | TGTC\* | 1396/1415 | 184519-194396 | 3′-5′ |
| *MbCOP19* | Copia | AC226055.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 5203 | TTCA | 592/590 | 26728-31930 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaCVI* | (CV) | AC226046.1 | *M. acuminate* | 11077 | CTCT | 3866/3813 | 160034-1711104 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaLAR1* | LARDs | AY484588.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4564 | GGTT | 447/447 | 48330-52793 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbLAR2* | LARDs | AC226055.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 4428 | ATAT | 445/445 | 9329–13756 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbLAR3* | LARDs | AP009334.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 4452 | ATGC | 383/383 | 20981-25432 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaLAR4* | LARDs | AC186955.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4318 | GTATT\* | 607/611 | 47077-51394 | 3′-5′ |
| *MbLAR5* | LARDs | FN396604.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 4449 | ATAC | 382/382 | 28462-32910 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbLAR6* | LARDs | FN396605.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 4449 | GGAG | 382/382 | 36620-41068 | 5′-3′ |
| *MaLAR7* | LARDs | AC186951.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4571 | ATAT | 446/446 | 92933-97503 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaLAR8* | LARDs | AC186753.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4550 | GTAG | 434/437 | 15832-20381 | 5′-3′ |
| *MbLAR9* | LARDs | AC186754.1 | *M. balbisiana* | 7712 | ATTGT\* | 626/635 | 72565-80276 | 3′-5′ |
| *MaLAR10* | LARDs | AC226051.1 | *M. acuminate* | 4005 | TTTC\* | 974/984 | 129126-133076 | 5′-3′ |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TABLE 4. *Musa* LTR retrotransposons with PBS/PPT motifs and *gag-pol* gene protein domains. UD: undetermined. AP: aspartic protease. RT: reverse transcriptase. INT: integrase. ZK: zinc knuckle. ZF: zinc finger. CHR: chromodomain. CHR: chromatin organization modifier. DUF: protein domain of unknown function. | | | | | | | | |
| **Element name** | **tRNA type** | **PBS sequence (5´-3´)** | **Position** | **PPT sequence (5´-3´)** | **Position** | | **Domain organization (5´-3´)** | |
| *MaGYP1* | Met\* | TATCAGAGCAGCGATCTT | 516-533 | ATGAGGAGCTGAAGA | 4394-4408 | | GAG, AP, INT,CHR | |
| *MaGYP2* | Asn | CGCTAGAAGGAGGGC | 560-574 | UD | --- | | GAG,TR | |
| *MaGYP3* | Asn | CGCTAGAAGGAGGGC | 470-484 | ACGGACCAGGGAGAA | 4012-4026 | | HP,TR,TVP,HVP | |
| *MaGYP4* | Asn | CACTAGAAGGAGGGC | 472-486 | ACGGACCAGGGAGAA | 4072-4086 | | DUF,HP,APC,HVP | |
| *MaGYP5* | Ala | GGAGCTATGCGTCGGTTC | 612-619 | AGGAGAAAGCTAACG | 5605-5619 | | MFS,TR,TVP, | |
| *MaGYP6* | UD | --- | --- | GGGGGGGGGGGGGGG | 2331-2345 | | GAG | |
| *MaGYP7* | Pro | TCGAGGCTGACGATTC | 497-512 | GGAAGGGCAGCGAGA | 4869-4883 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH | |
| *MaGYP8* | Met | TATCAGAGCAGCGTT | 484-499 | ATGAGGAGCTGAAGA | 5351-5365 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH,INT,CHR | |
| *MaGYP9* | Met | TATCAGAGCAGCGTTCTTG | 492-511 | TGAAGAGGGCGGGTT | 4794-4808 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH | |
| *MaGYP10* | Met | TATCAGAGCAGCGTT | 468-483 | TGAAGAGGGCGGGTC | 4977-4991 | | GAG,TIM,AP,RT,RH,INT,CHR | |
| *MaGYP11* | Leu | TCATGAATTTTGGGAATTTG | 555-574 | GGAAGGGCAGCGAGA | 4792-4806 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH | |
| *MaGYP12* | Ala | TGGAGATGACGCTGAGTCG | 754-772 | AGACTTGAGGACAAG | 5049-5063 | | GAG,ZK,AP,RT,RH,INT | |
| *MaGYP13* | Leu | AACATACCACTCTGCAGC | 1076-1093 | TCATTCTTCTATGTT | 4334-4348 | | RT | |
| *MaGYP14* | Asn | CGCTAGAAGGAGGGCCT | 636-652 | TTCAGGGGGGGAATA | 10962-10976 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH,INT | |
| *MbGYP15* | Thr\* | CCAACTAAGTTAGGAATTG | 893-911 | GCATGAAGAAGGAGA | 3968-3982 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH | |
| *MbGYP16* | Lys | TTCACCATGGCAAAGCATTG | 349-368 | TGAGTAATTGTTTAT | 3729-3744 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH | |
| *MaGYP17* | Met | TATCAGAGCCAGGTT | 803-817 | GACATGAAGAAGAAG | 5568-5582 | | CSP,GAG,AP,RT,RH,INT,CHR | |
| *MbGYP18* | Lys | TCTCACCATGCGAAGCACCT | 431-452 | AAGTTGGGGAGAATA | 6673-6687 | | AP,RT | |
| *MbGYP19* | UD | --- | --- | CGAGGAAAGAGGGAA | 6516-6530 | | GAG,AP,RT,RH,INT | |
| *MbGYP20* | Met | TATCAGAGCAGCGTT | 362-376 | TGAAGAGGACGGGTC | 17392-17406 | | GAG,AP,(CMV,RH,DUF)\*DUF,CMV, RH, CHR | |
| *MaCOP1* | Met | TATCAGAGCGGGGTTTTG | 616-633 | AAGAAAGACAGGAGA | 4589-4603 | | GAG,AP,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP2* | Met | TATCCAGCATGTCAAGTTTC | 388-407 | AGGAAGAGGCCATAG | 4407-4421 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP3* | Arg\* | CGACCTTGCATATGATCG | 311-328 | AAGAGAAAGGAAGAA | 15883-15897 | | (GAG,AP,INT,RT,RH)\*, GAG,INT,RT, RH | |
| *MaCOP4* | Met\* | ATCTGATCTAAGAGTTTTG | 262-280 | GGAAGAACAAGAAAA | 3706-3720 | | GAG,ZK,INT | |
| *MaCOP5* | Met | TATCAGAGCAAGGTTATC | 1296-1313 | CAAAAAGGGGGAGAT | 6938-6952 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP6* | Met | TATCAGAGCCAAGTTATT | 486-503 | UD | --- | | RT | |
| *MaCOP7* | Thr\* | AGGCTTCGTGAGTGAGTCG | 229-247 | GGGGTTGGAGAGGGA | 4779-4793 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP8* | Cys | TGCCATGAAAATGATTTG | 561-579 | GACCAAGTGGGAGAA | 5501-5515 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP9* | Ser | GATGCCTGAATGATTCG | 585-601 | GGCCAAGTGGGAGAA | 6410-6424 | | RT | |
| *MaCOP10* | Met | TATCAAAGCCAAGTTGTTCG | 1609-1628 | AGGTCAAGTGGGAGA | 7150-7164 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP11* | Met | TATCAGAGCCAGGTT | 1504-1518 | UD | --- | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP12* | Val\* | TATTAAATATGACATACAAA | 1207-1226 | AGAAAAAAGCTTAAA | 5903-5917 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP13* | Val\* | TATTAAATATGACATACAAA | 618-637 | AGAAAAAAGCTTAAA | 5314-5328 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP14* | UD | --- | --- | AAGAAGAAACCAAAA | 5703-5417 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MbCOP15* | Met | TATCAGAGCCTAGTTTCG | 461-478 | AGAAGGTGGAGCAAG | 4483-4497 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCOP16* | Val\* | ATTCACCATAGAGGCCACAA | 443-463 | GAACAAGTGGGGGAT | 4967-4981 | | GAG,RT,RH,MT\* | |
| *MaCOP17* | Val\* | TATTGAGATAAAGCAAA | 1398-1414 | AAATCAAATTGAGAG | 7043-7057 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MbCOP18* | Sup | GTATCAGAGTGAGGCTC | 1424-1440 | CAAAAAGGAGAAGAT | 8464-8478 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH,PRK | |
| *MbCOP19* | Lys | GCCCACAAGGGAGGCT | 625-640 | AAATACAAAATTAAA | 4571-4585 | | GAG,INT,RT,RH | |
| *MaCVI* | Gly | TGCAAAAGGCCAAGGAATT | 3918-3937 | GAGCTGGGTAGCGGA | 7172-7186 | | ZK,AP,RT,RH,DUF | |
| *MaLAR1* | UD | --- | --- | ATAAGTGGGGGAGAA | 4561-4564 | | UD | |
| *MbLAR2* | UD | --- | --- | ATAAGTGGGGGAGAA | 3965-3979 | | UD | |
| *MbLAR3* | UD | --- | --- | ATAAGTGGGGGAGAA | 4051-4065 | | UD | |
| *MaLAR4* | UD | --- | --- | UD | --- | | UD | |
| *MbLAR5* | UD | --- | --- | ATAAGTGGGGGAGAA | 4049-4063 | | UD | |
| *MbLAR6* | UD | --- | --- | ATAAGTGGGGGAGAA | 4049-4063 | | UD | |
| *MaLAR7* | Asp | GGGACCTAACGGGGCTGCG | 505-523 | ATAAGTGGGGGAGAA | 4107-4121 | | UD | |
| *MaLAR8* | Leu\* | TGGTATCAGAGTGGGAT | 442-458 | AATAAGTGAGGGAGA | 4088-4102 | | UD | |
| *MbLAR9* | UD | --- | --- | UD | --- | | UD | |
| *MaLAR10* | UD | --- | --- | UD | | --- | | ADM |





