Table 1 and 2 report the F1 scores for each one of the set of labels.

Table 1: Quality for the few-shot text context classification model.

|  | precision | recall | F1-score | support |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| leisure, hobbies and interests | 0.6934 | 0.819 | 0.7510 | 116 |
| commercial | 0.6341 | 0.6341 | 0.6341 | 41 |
| connecting and sharing | 0.6957 | 0.5000 | 0.5818 | 32 |
| health, fitness and wellbeing | 0.5500 | 0.4583 | 0.5000 | 24 |
| community events | 0.6667 | 0.4615 | 0.5455 | 26 |
| local environment | 0.5294 | 0.4737 | 0.5000 | 19 |
| place character | 0.4737 | 0.6000 | 0.5294 | 15 |
| family, friendship and relationships | 0.8571 | 0.6667 | 0.7500 | 9 |
| micro avg | 0.6525 | 0.6525 | 0.6525 | 282 |
| macro avg | 0.6375 | 0.5767 | 0.5990 | 282 |
| weighted avg | 0.6529 | 0.6525 | 0.6458 | 282 |
| samples avg | 0.6525 | 0.6525 | 0.6525 | 282 |

Table 2: Quality measures for the few-shot text emotion classification model.

|  | precision | recall | F1-score | support |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| joy | 0.6805 | 0.8647 | 0.7616 | 133 |
| admiration | 0.6154 | 0.4615 | 0.5275 | 52 |
| interest | 0.5455 | 0.5217 | 0.5333 | 23 |
| anticipation | 0.5000 | 0.4167 | 0.4545 | 24 |
| excitement | 0.4286 | 0.2727 | 0.3333 | 22 |
| annoyance | 0.6250 | 0.4167 | 0.5000 | 12 |
| gratitude | 0.5000 | 0.4000 | 0.4444 | 10 |
| sadness | 0.5000 | 0.1667 | 0.2500 | 6 |
| micro avg | 0.6277 | 0.6277 | 0.6277 | 282 |
| macro avg | 0.5494 | 0.4401 | 0.4756 | 282 |
| weighted avg | 0.6098 | 0.6277 | 0.6070 | 282 |
| samples avg | 0.6277 | 0.6277 | 0.6277 | 282 |