

checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) 4

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: 4

Bond precision:	C-C = 0.0300 Å	Wavelength=1.54178	
Cell:	a=17.482 (5)	b=22.364 (6)	c=23.567 (6)
	alpha=90	beta=102.640 (6)	gamma=90
Temperature:	120 K		

	Calculated	Reported
Volume	8991(4)	8991(4)
Space group	P 21/n	P 1 21/n 1
Hall group	-P 2yn	-P 2yn
Moiety formula	C84 H131 K3 La O6 P6	C84 H131 K3 La O6 P6
Sum formula	C84 H131 K3 La O6 P6	C84 H131 K3 La O6 P6
Mr	1678.93	1678.91
Dx, g cm ⁻³	1.240	1.240
Z	4	4
Mu (mm ⁻¹)	6.284	6.284
F000	3548.0	3548.0
F000'	3561.52	
h, k, lmax	18, 23, 24	17, 18, 23
Nref	10417	8184
Tmin, Tmax	0.391, 0.504	0.378, 0.750
Tmin'	0.247	

```
Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.378 Tmax=0.750
AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN
```

Data completeness= 0.786 Theta (max)= 52.874

```
R(reflections)= 0.1144( 5118)      wR2(reflections)=
S = 1.126                        0.2479( 8184)
Npar= 936
```

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format

test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

Alert level A

THETM01_ALERT_3_A The value of sine(theta_max)/wavelength is less than 0.550
Calculated sin(theta_max)/wavelength = 0.5171

Author Response: The data was largely incomplete due to poor diffraction and resolution

PLAT029_ALERT_3_A _diffn_measured_fraction_theta_full value Low . 0.786 Why?

Author Response: The data was largely incomplete due to poor diffraction and resolution

Alert level B

PLAT342_ALERT_3_B Low Bond Precision on C-C Bonds 0.03 Ang.

Author Response: The data was largely incomplete due to poor diffraction and resolution

PLAT911_ALERT_3_B Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L= 0.517 1624 Report

16	0	0,	15	1	0,	16	1	0,	17	1	0,	17	2	0,	13	3	0,
14	4	0,	13	6	0,	13	8	0,	12	9	0,	12	10	0,	15	12	0,
3	14	0,	14	14	0,	0	16	0,	2	16	0,	6	17	0,	7	17	0,
8	17	0,	9	17	0,	10	17	0,	11	17	0,	0	18	0,	1	18	0,
2	18	0,	4	18	0,	5	18	0,	6	18	0,	7	18	0,	8	18	0,
9	18	0,	10	18	0,	11	18	0,	-17	0	1,	-15	0	1,	-1	0	1,
15	0	1,	17	0	1,	-17	1	1,	-16	1	1,	-15	1	1,	15	1	1,
16	1	1,	17	1	1,	-17	2	1,	13	2	1,	17	2	1,	-14	3	1,
-14	4	1,	-14	5	1,	-13	7	1,	13	7	1,	-13	8	1,	-16	10	1,
-12	10	1,	12	10	1,	11	11	1,	11	12	1,	10	13	1,	-6	14	1,
-5	14	1,	-4	14	1,	-3	14	1,	-2	15	1,	-6	16	1,	5	16	1,
-12	17	1,	-11	17	1,	-10	17	1,	-9	17	1,	-8	17	1,	-7	17	1,
-3	17	1,	0	17	1,	5	17	1,	7	17	1,	8	17	1,	9	17	1,
10	17	1,	11	17	1,	-11	18	1,	-10	18	1,	-9	18	1,	-8	18	1,
-7	18	1,	-6	18	1,	-3	18	1,	-2	18	1,	-1	18	1,	0	18	1,
1	18	1,	2	18	1,	3	18	1,	5	18	1,	6	18	1,	7	18	1,

Author Response: The data was largely incomplete due to poor diffraction and resolution

Alert level C

RINTA01_ALERT_3_C The value of Rint is greater than 0.12
Rint given 0.137

PLAT020_ALERT_3_C The Value of Rint is Greater Than 0.12 0.137 Report
PLAT082_ALERT_2_C High R1 Value 0.11 Report
PLAT088_ALERT_3_C Poor Data / Parameter Ratio 8.74 Note

PLAT220_ALERT_2_C	NonSolvent	Resd 1	C	Ueq(max)/Ueq(min) Range	3.4	Ratio
PLAT241_ALERT_2_C	High	'MainMol'	Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of	K3	Check	
PLAT242_ALERT_2_C	Low	'MainMol'	Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of	C34	Check	
PLAT242_ALERT_2_C	Low	'MainMol'	Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of	C39	Check	
PLAT329_ALERT_4_C	Carbon Atom Hybridisation Unclear for	C68	Check			
PLAT767_ALERT_4_C	INS Embedded LIST 6 Instruction Should be LIST 4	Please	Check			
PLAT906_ALERT_3_C	Large K Value in the Analysis of Variance	11.991	Check			
PLAT906_ALERT_3_C	Large K Value in the Analysis of Variance	2.880	Check			
PLAT973_ALERT_2_C	Check Calcd Positive Resid. Density on	Lal	1.06	eA-3		
PLAT977_ALERT_2_C	Check Negative Difference Density on H55B	.	-0.32	eA-3		

● Alert level G

PLAT003_ALERT_2_G	Number of Uiso or U(i,j) Restrained non-H Atoms	96	Report
PLAT083_ALERT_2_G	SHELXL Second Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large	290.92	Why ?
PLAT178_ALERT_4_G	The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains SIMU Records	1	Report
PLAT187_ALERT_4_G	The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains RIGU Records	1	Report
PLAT188_ALERT_3_G	A Non-default SIMU Restraint Value has been used	0.0100	Report
PLAT343_ALERT_2_G	Unusual sp? Angle Range in Main Residue for	C68	Check
PLAT367_ALERT_2_G	Long? C(sp?)-C(sp?) Bond C67 - C68	1.56	Ang.
PLAT774_ALERT_1_G	Check X-Y Bond in CIF: K2 --K3	4.03	Ang.
PLAT779_ALERT_4_G	Suspect or Irrelevant (Bond) Angle(s) in CIF ...	43.40	Deg.
	O5 -C57 -K2 1_555 1_555 1_555	# 476	Check
PLAT794_ALERT_5_G	Tentative Bond Valency for Lal (III)	2.93	Info
PLAT860_ALERT_3_G	Number of Least-Squares Restraints	1622	Note
PLAT933_ALERT_2_G	Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File	16	Note
	-9 1 18, -8 3 17, -8 7 15, -8 8 14, -7 0 19, -7 1 19,		
	-7 2 19, -7 5 18, -7 6 15, -6 2 19, -5 0 19, -5 2 18,		
	-4 1 19, -4 1 20, -4 10 17, -3 1 20,		
PLAT951_ALERT_5_G	Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Kmax Differ	5	Units
PLAT957_ALERT_1_G	Calculated (ThMax) and Actual (FCF) Kmax Differ	5	Units
PLAT969_ALERT_5_G	The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value	4.237	Note
	Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 5.85 or SHELX Weight 22.01		
PLAT978_ALERT_2_G	Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density.	0	Info

2 **ALERT level A** = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
2 **ALERT level B** = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
14 **ALERT level C** = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
16 **ALERT level G** = General information/check it is not something unexpected

2 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
13 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
11 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
5 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
3 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

Datablock 4 - ellipsoid plot

