Detection of hydrogen sulphide above the clouds in 2 Uranus' atmosphere

- ³ Patrick G. J. Irwin¹, Daniel Toledo¹, Ryan Garland¹, Nicholas A. Teanby², Leigh N. Fletcher³,
- ⁴ Glenn A. Orton⁴, & Bruno Bézard⁵
- ⁵ ¹Department of Physics (Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics), University of Oxford,
- 6 Parks Rd, Oxford, OX1 3PU, UK.
- ⁷ ²School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queens Road, Bristol,
- 8 BS8 1RJ, UK.
- ⁹ ³Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester,
- 10 LE1 7RH, UK.
- ⁴Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena,
 CA 91109, USA
- ¹³ ⁵LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC
 ¹⁴ Univ. Paris 6, Université Paris-Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 5 place Jules Janssen, 92195 Meudon,
 ¹⁵ France.

¹⁶ Visible to near-infrared observations indicate that the cloud top of the main cloud deck on ¹⁷ Uranus lies at a pressure level of between 1.2 and 3 bar. However, its composition has never ¹⁸ been unambiguously identified, although it is widely assumed to be composed primarily of ¹⁹ either ammonia (NH₃) or hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) ice. Here we present evidence of a clear ²⁰ detection of gaseous H₂S above this cloud deck in the wavelength region 1.57 – 1.59 μ m with a mole fraction of 0.4 – 0.8 ppm at the cloud top. Its detection constrains the deep bulk sulphur/nitrogen abundance to exceed 4.4 – 5.0 times the solar value in Uranus' bulk atmosphere, and places a lower limit on the mole fraction of H₂S below the observed cloud of $(1.0 - 2.5) \times 10^{-5}$. The detection of gaseous H₂S at these pressure levels adds to the weight of evidence that the principal constituent of 1.2 – 3-bar cloud is likely to be H₂S ice.

Introduction In the absence of any spectrally identifiable ice absorption features, the identity of 26 the main component of the cloud in Uranus' atmosphere with cloud top pressure 1.2 - 3 bar¹⁻³ 27 has long been a source of debate. The assumption that it is composed of either ammonia (NH_3) 28 or hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) ice³ is based on the expected presence at deeper pressures (~ 40 bar) 29 of an ammonium hydrosulphide (NH₄SH) cloud. This cloud combines together in equal parts 30 any available H₂S and NH₃, leaving the remaining more abundant molecule to condense alone at 31 lower pressures⁴. Deeper in the atmosphere (20 - 40 bar), observations of Uranus and Neptune 32 at microwave wavelengths (1 - 20 cm) with the Very Large Array (VLA)⁵ found that there was a 33 missing component of continuum absorption, which was concluded to be likely due to the pressure-34 broadened wings of H₂S lines with wavelengths of less than a few mm. The deep abundance of 35 H_2S was estimated to be $10-30\times$ solar and this analysis further concluded, building upon previous 36 studies^{6,7}, that the bulk S/N ratio must exceed $\sim 5 \times$ the assumed solar ratio⁸ in order that the 37 bulk abundance of H₂S exceeds that of NH₃, leaving residual H₂S above the deeper NH₄SH cloud. 38 Hydrogen sulphide is believed to be a significant component of all the giant planet atmospheres and 39 has been detected in situ in Jupiter's deep atmosphere by the Galileo probe mass spectrometer⁹ (and 40 also in comets, both *in situ* and remotely^{10,11}). However, it has never been unambiguously remotely 41

detected in the atmospheres of any of the giant planets, aside from a possible debated detection in Jupiter's atmosphere following the impact of Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9 in 1994^{12,13}. Hence, while H₂S is probably the source of the missing continuum absorption at microwave wavelengths in Uranus and Neptune's atmospheres, and is also probably the main component of the 1.2 – 3-bar cloud, it has never been unequivocally detected in Uranus' atmosphere to confirm this.

Detection of H_2S and NH_3 absorption features at thermal-IR wavelengths is very challenging 47 due to the extremely cold atmospheric temperatures in Uranus' atmosphere, but at visible/near-48 infrared wavelengths, there are weak absorption bands that could potentially be detected in sunlight 49 reflected from the cloud tops at wavelengths where the absorption of other gases is weak. The 50 available line data for the key condensable volatiles in Uranus' atmosphere (i.e. CH_4 , NH_3 , H_2S) 51 have recently been greatly improved^{14,15} and, although these absorptions are weak, we looked to 52 see whether we could detect these features in near-IR ground-based high resolution spectroscopic 53 measurements. 54

⁵⁵ **Observations** Observations of Uranus (with adaptive optics) were made with Gemini-North's ⁵⁶ Near-infrared Integral Field Spectrometer (NIFS) instrument in 2009/2010^{16,17}. NIFS records $3'' \times$ ⁵⁷ 3'' image 'cubes' with a pixel size of $0.103 \times 0.043''$, where each pixel is a spectrum covering, in ⁵⁸ the H-band, the wavelength range $1.476 - 1.803 \mu m$ with a spectral resolution of R = 5290. For ⁵⁹ this study we used observations recorded on 2nd November 2010 at approximately 06:00UT¹⁷. To ⁶⁰ minimise random noise we averaged the observations over seven 5×5 pixel boxes, indicated in ⁶¹ Fig. 1 and listed in Table 1. We selected the wavelength region $1.49 - 1.64 \mu m$ for our analysis,

comprising $n_y = 937$ spectral points. We initially set the noise at each wavelength to be the stan-62 dard deviation of the data in these 5×5 pixel boxes. However, we found that we were not quite 63 able to fit these spectra to a precision of $\chi^2/n_y \sim 1$ and attributed this to unknown deficiencies 64 in our spectral modelling. We thus multiplied these errors by a single factor of 1.6 at all wave-65 lengths (except for area '6', for which the variance was already sufficiently large) to account for 66 these 'forward-modelling' errors. For our reference spectrum we chose the region close to the disc 67 centre, centred at 15.3°N (Area '1'), but performed the same analysis for all other selected regions, 68 reported in the supplementary material. 69

Analysis To model the observed spectra we used the NEMESIS¹⁸ retrieval model, using the 70 correlated-k approximation with 'k'-tables generated from the recently published WKLMC@80K+¹⁴ 71 line data for CH_4 and updated line data for H_2S and NH_3 from HITRAN2012¹⁵. The mean absorp-72 tion strengths of CH₄, NH₃ and H₂S across the H-band wavelength range contained in these data 73 (calculated at 100 K and 1 atm) are shown in Fig. 1. Our a priori vertical atmospheric profile was 74 based on the 'F1' temperature profile, determined from HST/STIS and Voyager 2 observations³. 75 This profile has a deep methane mole fraction of $4\%^{19}$, and has a varying relative humidity with 76 height above the condensation level. The He:H₂ ratio was set to 0.131 and the profile includes 77 0.04% mole fraction of Ne³. To this profile we added NH₃ and H₂S, assuming arbitrary 'deep' 78 mole fractions (i.e. above the putative NH_4SH cloud) of 0.1% for both, and limited their abun-79 dance to not exceed the saturated vapour pressure²⁰ in the troposphere as the temperature falls with 80 height, adjusting the abundance of hydrogen and helium (keeping He:H₂ = 0.131) to ensure the 81 mole fractions summed to unity at each pressure level. Figure 2 shows the modelled abundance 82

profiles of the three condensible species falling with height. We can see that the saturated vapour 83 pressure of H_2S at the pressure of the main clouds of Uranus (1.2 – 3 bar) is approximately 2000 84 times higher than that of NH₃. Hence, even though the peak NH₃ absorption strength in this spec-85 tral region is, from Fig. 1, ~ 100 times stronger than that of H₂S, we expect the absorption lines 86 of H_2S to be far more visible due to the higher likely abundance of H_2S and also the lines of H_2S 87 having maximum strength at wavelengths of minimum methane opacity (Fig. 1). The very low 88 saturated vapour pressure of NH_3 at the 1.2–3 bar level in Uranus' atmosphere makes it likely that 89 NH₃ would not have enough abundance to condense into a cloud with sufficient opacity at this 90 level as has previously been noted³. 91

We fitted the spectrum using a multiple-scattering model and modelled cloud opacity with 92 a vertically continuous profile of particles (at 39 levels) with a Gamma size distribution of mean 93 radius 1.0 μ m and variance 0.05. This size distribution is typical of that assumed in previous 94 analyses^{2,21}, but is an assumption and is not constrained by, for example, a microphysical model. 95 In addition, the assumption that the particles have the same size distribution at all altitudes is 96 an oversimplification since we would expect that in real clouds the particles would be smaller at 97 higher altitudes. However, the primary objective of this study was to search for the spectral sig-98 nature of H₂S gas, rather than to fit a sophisticated cloud model and we thus chose a model that 99 would be simple and easy to fit. It should be noted that our simple cloud model is used to model 100 not only the effects of the main 1.2 - 3 bar cloud, but also any CH₄ cloud opacity and any tropo-101 spheric/stratospheric haze opacity that may be present. In addition to fitting the cloud opacity at 102 each level in the atmosphere, we also fitted the imaginary refractive index spectrum of the parti-103

cles (assumed to be the same at all vertical levels) at nine wavelengths between 1.4 and 1.8 μ m, 104 reconstructing the real part of the refractive index spectrum using the Kramers-Kronig relation²¹, 105 assuming $n_{real} = 1.4$ at 1.6 μ m. We constrained the imaginary refractive index spectrum to vary 106 reasonably slowly with wavelength, to avoid degeneracy with the H_2S signal we were trying to de-107 tect (see Methods). Self-consistent extinction cross-sections, single-scattering albedos and phase 108 functions were then computed at all wavelengths using Mie theory, with the phase functions ap-109 proximated with Henyey-Greenstein functions (see Methods section). The total number of variable 110 parameters in our retrieval was thus $n_x = 39 + 9 = 48$, and thus the total number of degrees of 111 freedom, $n = n_y - n_x$ was 889. 112

Results Figure 3 shows our fit to the reference Uranus spectrum (Area '1' of Fig. 1 and Table 1) 113 when H₂S and NH₃ absorption is neglected, using three different *a priori* values of the imaginary 114 refractive index of 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1, respectively, at all wavelengths with an *a priori* error 115 of $\pm 50\%$. We can see that reasonably good fits are achieved for all three cases, but that better 116 fits are achieved with higher a priori values, with similar results for $n_i = 0.01$ and $n_i = 0.1$ 117 $(\chi^2/n \sim 1.7)$. For the $n_i = 0.001$ case, a poorer fit is achieved $(\chi^2/n \sim 1.9)$ as the solution cannot 118 move far enough away from the *a priori* to properly fit the spectrum. As a result the retrieved 119 particles have low imaginary refractive index and so are more scattering, necessitating the cloud 120 profile opacity to reduce quickly at pressures greater than 2–3 bar to prevent significant reflection 121 from these levels. This is in stark contrast to the other two solutions, where n_i is much higher 122 $(n_i \sim 0.06)$, and where we find that the single-scattering albedo of the particles is $\varpi = 0.7 - 0.8$ and 123 phase function asymmetry is $q \sim 0.7$ across the observed spectral range (Supplementary Fig.1). 124

These retrieved single-scattering albedo and phase-function asymmetry values agree very well 125 with a limb-darkening analysis¹⁶, which used these same Gemini/NIFS data smoothed to a lower 126 resolution of FWHM = 0.004 μ m and older, lower-resolution methane absorption k-distribution 127 data²², and also with an analysis of Keck and HST images²³, which recommended $\varpi = 0.75$ and 128 g = 0.7. An important consequence of the low single-scattering albedo of the retrieved particles is 129 that solar photons are quickly absorbed as they reach the cloud tops and so we do not see significant 130 reflection from particles residing at pressures greater than 2–3 bar. This can be seen in the retrieved 131 error bars for the cloud opacity profiles in Fig. 3 quickly relaxing back to their *a priori* value as 132 the pressure increases and the profiles for the higher n_i values tending smoothly back to their a 133 *priori* opacity/bar values. As a result, although we can clearly detect the cloud-top pressure at these 134 wavelengths, we cannot tell where the base is and thus cannot differentiate between a vertically 135 thin cloud based at 2–3 bar, or a cloud that extends vertically down to several bars with the same 136 cloud-top pressure. We also note here that when the particles are constrained to be more scattering, 137 the peak of cloud opacity is at a lower pressure than for the case with more absorbing particles. 138 This phenomenon may help to explain why HST/STIS³ retrievals, which assume the particles to 139 be more scattering, find the cloud tops to be at lower pressures (1.2 bar) than retrievals near 1.5 140 μ m^{1,2}, which assume more absorbing particles and find cloud tops at 2–3-bar. 14

Figure 4 compares our best fits to the observed reference spectrum (Area '1' at 15.3°N) in the 1.56 – 1.60 μ m region, including or excluding H₂S absorption. When H₂S absorption is not included, we find that there is a significant discrepancy between the measured and modelled spectra, giving $\chi^2/n = 1.71$. This discrepancy is significantly reduced when H₂S absorption is included and

NEMESIS allowed to scale the abundance of H₂S, achieving a much closer fit with $\chi^2/n = 1.30$. 146 When H_2S absorption is not included, there are several peaks in the difference spectrum (Fig. 4) 147 that match perfectly the effect of including or excluding this gas in the spectral calculation. We ex-148 amined the correlation between the expected H₂S signal and this difference spectrum between 1.57 149 and 1.60 μ m, and found a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.718 (indicating a strong correlation) 150 and a Spearman rank correlation coeffcient of 0.602, with a two-sided significance value of D = 151 6.88×10^{-20} , which equates to a 9- σ -level detection. We also tested the effect on the calculated 152 spectrum of including or excluding 100% relative humidity of ammonia (NH_3), but found that this 153 was completely undetectable due to ammonia's extremely low abundances at these temperatures. 154 In case the ammonia abundance in Uranus' atmosphere is in reality highly supersaturated, we also 155 tested the effect on the calculated spectrum of supersaturating NH_3 by factor of 1000, also shown 156 in Fig. 4. However, we found that the absorption features of NH_3 do not coincide at all well with 157 the difference spectrum, with correlation coefficients of only 0.271 (Pearson) and 0.256 (Spear-158 man), respectively. We thus conclude that NH_3 is not the source of the missing absorption. The 159 correlation between the spectral discrepancy of the fit, when H_2S is neglected, and the differences 160 between the modelled spectra when H₂S or NH₃ absorption is added are shown in Supplementary 161 Fig. 2. 162

The retrieved relative humidity of the H_2S profile needed to match the observed absorption features was $113 \pm 12\%$. Since the mole fraction of H_2S decreases rapidly with height, this scaling factor is strongly weighted by the abundance of H_2S just above the cloud tops, i.e. at 2–3 bar and found to be 0.47 ppm, but is consistent with the H_2S profile having 100% relative humidity in this

region. However, this conclusion depends upon both the assumed temperature profile, which sets 167 the saturated vapour pressure, and also the assumed methane profile, which affects the retrieved 168 cloud-top pressure and thus the peak pressure level (and thus local temperature) of sensitivity 169 to H_2S . To test these effects we repeated our retrievals using a vertical profile of temperature 170 and abundance estimated from Spitzer²⁴, which has a lower CH_4 abundance of 3.2%, compared 171 with 4% for the 'F1' profile³, but is slightly warmer at pressures greater than 1 bar, resulting in 172 higher saturated vapour pressures of H_2S . As might be expected, the lower CH_4 abundance of this 173 profile led to the retrieved cloud opacity peaking at slightly higher pressures to achieve the required 174 column abundance of CH_4 (Table 1) and the retrieved H_2S relative humidity at the deeper cloud 175 tops in the warmer atmosphere was only $16\pm 2\%$. Since it is not clear which of these two profiles is 176 more reliable, (although the 'F1' profile was found to be inconsistent with Spitzer observations²⁴) it 177 can be seen that although we clearly detect the presence of H₂S at Uranus' cloud tops, it is difficult 178 to quantitatively determine its relative humidity. However, we can see from Table 1 that there is 179 very good correspondence between the retrieved values of column abundance of H₂S above the 180 clouds for the two temperature profiles and also between the retrieved mole fraction of H₂S at the 181 cloud-top pressure level of $(4.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7}$ for the 'F1' profile and $(4.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-7}$ for the 182 Spitzer profile, where we have propagated the relative humidity retrieval errors. 183

Table 1 and Supplementary Figs. 3 - 11 show our fits at the other test points on Uranus' disc, indicated in Fig. 1. At all locations except in Uranus' northern polar 'cap' feature we found a clear improvement in our fit to the spectra when H₂S absorption is included, indicating the presence of H₂S at the cloud tops (Table 1), with well defined column abundances above the cloud

of $(2-5) \times 10^{19}$ molecule cm⁻² and cloud-top mole fractions of 0.4 - 0.8 ppm. All but one of the 188 chosen points were on the central meridian, to keep the zenith angle as low as possible to minimise 189 the computation time of our multiple scattering code, which uses more Fourier components in the 190 azimuth decomposition direction as the zenith angle increases to maintain accuracy. However, 19 point '2' was chosen to be at roughly the same latitude as our reference area, but off the central 192 meridian and closer to the limb to check that our retrieval was robust against zenith angle changes, 193 which was found to be the case. The absence of a clear H_2S signature near Uranus' north pole 194 seems to indicate lower H₂S above the clouds in this region, in the same way that microwave 195 observations found that the polar regions were depleted in microwave absorbers (H_2S and NH_3) 196 at depth⁶. The abundance of methane above the clouds is also known to be reduced at these 197 latitudes^{3,19}. Alternatively, it could also be that the H₂S signal is masked by increased abundance 198 of tropospheric haze, but Table 1, which lists a haze 'index', given by the observed radiance in a 199 methane absorbing band divided by the radiance at continuum wavelengths, does not suggest that 200 the polar region is particularly affected by overlying haze. However, to explore this further requires 201 a detailed examination of spectra in the polar regions, which is beyond the scope of this paper. 202

Discussion If we could be sure that the main observed cloud deck was vertically thin and composed of H_2S ice, then we could constrain the abundance of H_2S below it by equating the cloud base to the condensation level. However, the low retrieved single-scattering albedo of the cloud particles means that we cannot tell between whether we are seeing a vertically thin cloud based at 2–3 bar or just the top of a vertically extended cloud that extends to several bars. Instead, our detection of H_2S can be used to give a lower limit on its abundance below the observed cloud.

Assuming the main cloud is made of H₂S ice, is vertically thin and is based at 3 bars, and that the 209 STIS/Voyager-2 'F1' temperature profile³ we have assumed is correct, the saturated mole fraction 210 of H₂S at the 3-bar level (where the temperature is 116.1K) is estimated to be 1.1×10^{-5} . Alterna-211 tively, using the Spitzer profile²⁴, the saturated vapour mole fraction at the 3-bar level (where the 212 temperature is 119.5 K) is 2.5×10^{-5} . Hence, we can conclude that the mole fraction of H₂S at 213 pressures > 3 bar, immediately below the clouds must be > $(1.0 - 2.5) \times 10^{-5}$. We can compare 214 this with the expected abundances of H_2S and NH_3 from microwave VLA studies^{5–7}, who found 215 the abundance of H₂S to be $10 - 30 \times \text{solar}$, and S/N > ~ 5 , assuming solar abundances⁸ of 216 $H_2S/H_2 = 3.76 \times 10^{-5}$ and $NH_3/H_2 = 1.74 \times 10^{-4}$ (giving N/S = 4.6). Using these values, $10 \times solar$ 217 H_2S and 2×solar NH₃ would give a residual mole fraction of H_2S above a deeper NH₄SH cloud 218 of at least 3×10^{-5} , while for $30 \times \text{solar H}_2$ S and $6 \times \text{solar NH}_3$, the expected residual H₂S mole 219 fraction increases to 9×10^{-5} . Both these values are significantly greater than our estimated mini-220 mum residual abundance, but are consistent with it and may suggest that the base of the cloud lies 221 at pressures greater than 3 bar. A more recent analysis of Spitzer Uranus observations²⁴ suggests 222 a residual H₂S mole fraction of 1.5×10^{-5} in order to reconcile the millimetre spectrum with the 223 temperature profile derived from Spitzer, which is much closer to our estimate. Interpolating to 224 the pressure levels in our assumed 'F1' temperature-pressure profile³ where the VLA and Spitzer 225 estimates of residual H₂S abundance are equal to the saturated vapour pressure abundances we 226 deduce that the base of the main cloud must lie at a pressure of 3.1 - 4.1 bar. Alternatively, if 227 we assume the Spitzer temperature-pressure profile²⁴, we find a pressure range 2.8 - 3.7 bar. The 228 fact that we detect H₂S at all at Uranus' cloud tops confirms that the deep abundance of H₂S must 229

exceed that of NH₃ and hence that $S/N > 4.6 \times$ solar for the solar abundance ratios⁸ assumed 230 by the VLA study^{5,6}. We note, however, that there are other, more recent estimates of the solar 23 abundance ratios, for which the solar N/S value varies from 4.4^{25} to 5.0^{26} . Hence, to ensure that 232 the deep abundance of H₂S exceeds that of NH₃ we conclude that the S/N ratio in Uranus' bulk 233 atmosphere exceeds 4.4–5.0 \times solar. The clear detection of gaseous H₂S above Uranus' clouds 234 leads us to conclude that H₂S ice likely forms a significant component of the main clouds at 1.2 235 -3 bar. To our knowledge the imaginary refractive index spectrum of H₂S ice has not been mea-236 sured and hence we cannot directly verify if our retrieved refractive index spectrum is consistent 237 with H_2S ice. However, very large imaginary refractive indices, such as we retrieve, are absent in 238 the measured complex refractive index spectra of H₂O, CH₄ and NH₃ ices. This suggests that if 239 Uranus' main clouds are indeed formed primarily of H_2S ice, the particles may not be pure con-240 densates, but may be heavily coated or mixed with photochemical products drizzling down from 241 the stratosphere above, lowering their single-scattering albedos. 242

243 **1 References**

- de Kleer, K., Luszcz-Cook, S., de Pater, I., Ádámkovics, M. & Hammel, H.B. Clouds and
 aerosols on Uranus: Radiative transfer modeling of spatially-resolved near-infrared Keck
 spectra. *Icarus* 256, 120 137 (2015).
- 248 2. Irwin, P.G.J., de Bergh, C., Courtin, R., Bézard, B., Teanby, N.A., Davis, G.R., Fletcher, L.N.,
- Orton, G.S., Calcutt, S.B., Tice, D. & Hurley, J. The application of new methane line absorp-
- tion data to Gemini-N/NIFS and KPNO/FTS observations of Uranus' near-infrared spectrum.

Icarus **220**, 369 – 382 (2012b). 251

252	3.	Sromovsky, L.A., Fry, P.M., & Kim, J.H. Methane on Uranus: The case for a compact CH ₄
253		cloud layer at low latitudes and a severe CH_4 depletion at high latitudes based on a re-analysis
254		of Voyager occultation measurements and STIS spectroscopy. <i>Icarus</i> 215 , 292 – 312 (2011).
255	4.	Weidenschilling, S.J. & Lewis, J.S. Atmospheric and cloud structures of the Jovian planets.
256		<i>Icarus</i> 20 , 465 – 76 (1973).
257	5.	de Pater, I., Romani, P.N. & Atreya. S.K. Possible microwave absorption by H_2S gas in Uranus'
258		and Neptune's atmospheres. <i>Icarus</i> 91 , 220 – 233 (1991).
259	6.	de Pater, I., Romani, P.N. & Atreya. S.K. Uranus' deep atmosphere revealed. Icarus 82, 288 -
260		313 (1989).
261	7.	de Pater, I. & Massie, S. Models of the millimeter-centimeter spectra of the giant planets.
262		<i>Icarus</i> 62 , 143 – 171 (1985).
263	8.	Cameron, A.G.W. Elemental and Nuclidic Abundances in the Solar System. In Essays in
264		Nuclear Astrophysics (C. A. Barnes, D. D. Clayton, and D. N. Schramm, Eds.), pp. 23-43,
265		Cambridge Univ. Press, London/New York (1982).

9. Niemann, H.B., et al. The composition of the jovian atmosphere as determined by the Galileo 266 probe mass spectrometer. J. Geophys. Res. 103, 22831 – 22845 (1998). 267

268	10.	Boissier, J., Bockelée-Morvan, Biver, N., Crovisier, J., Despois, D., Marsden, B.G. & Moreno,
269		R. Interferometric imaging of the sulfur-bearing molecules H_2S , SO and CS in comet C/1995
270		O1 (Hale-Bopp). Astron. Astrophys. 475, 1131 – 1144 (2007).
271	11.	Eberhardt, P., Meier, R., Krankowsky, D. & Hodges, P.R. Methanol and hydrogen sulfide in
272		comet P/Halley. Astron. Astrophys. 288, 315 – 329 (1994).
273	12.	Noll, K.S., McGrath, M.A., Trafton, L.M., Atreya, S.K., Caldwell, J.J., Weaver, H.A., Yelle,
274		R.V., Barnet, C. & Edgington, S. HST Spectroscopic observations of Jupiter after the collision
275		of Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9. Science 267, 1307 – 1313 (1995).
276	13.	Lellouch, E. Chemistry induced by the impacts: Observations. IAU Colloquium 156; Pro-
277		ceedings of the Space Telescope Science Institute Workshop, held in Baltimore, Maryland,
278		May 9-12, 1995. Eds.: Keith S. Noll, Harold A. Weaver, Paul D. Feldman, Cambridge Uni-
279		versity Press, p. 213 (1996).
280	14.	Campargue, A., Leshchishina, O., Wang, L., Mondelain, D. & Kassi, S. The WKLMC em-
281		pirical line lists $(5852 - 7919 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ for methane between 80 K and 296 K: "Final" lists for
282		atmospheric and planetary applications. J. Molec. Spectrosc. 291, 16 – 22 (2013).
283	15.	Rothman, L.S., Gordon, I.E., Babikov, Y., Barbe, A., Benner, D.C., Bernath, P.F., et al. The
284		HITRAN2012 molecular spectroscopic database, J.Q.S.R.T. 130, 4 – 50 (2013).
285	16.	Irwin, P.G.J., Teanby, N.A., Davis, G.R., Fletcher, L.N., Orton, G.S., Tice, D. & Kyffin, A.
286		Uranus' cloud structure and seasonal variability from Gemini-North and UKIRT observations.
287		<i>Icarus</i> 212 , 339 – 350 (2011).
		14

288	17. Irwin, P.G.J., Teanby, N.A., Davis, G.R., Fletcher, L.N., Orton, G.S., Calcutt, S.B., Tice, D.
289	& Hurley, J. Further seasonal changes in Uranus' cloud structure observed by Gemini-North
290	and UKIRT. <i>Icarus</i> 218 , 47 – 55 (2012a).

- 18. Irwin, P.G.J., Teanby, N.A., de Kok, R., Fletcher, L.N., Howett, C.J.A., Tsang, C.C.C., Wil-
- son, C.F., Calcutt, S.B., Nixon, C.A. & Parrish, P.D. The NEMESIS planetary atmosphere
- radiative transfer and retrieval tool. *J.Q.S.R.T.* **109**, 1136 1150 (2008).
- 19. Karkoschka, E. & Tomasko, M.The haze and methane distributions on Uranus from HST-STIS
 spectroscopy. *Icarus* 202, 287–309 (2009).
- ²⁹⁶ 20. Fray, N. & Schmitt, B. Sublimation of ices of astrophysical interest: A bibliographic review.
 ²⁹⁷ *Plan. Space. Sci.* 57, 2053 2080 (2009).
- 298 21. Irwin, P.G.J., Tice, D.S., Fletcher, L.N., Barstow, J.K., Teanby, N.A., Orton, G.S. & Davis,
- ²⁹⁹ G.R. Reanalysis of Uranus' cloud scattering properties from IRTF/SpeX observations using a
- self-consistent scattering cloud retrieval scheme. *Icarus* **250**, 462 476 (2015).
- 22. Karkoschka, E. & Tomasko, M. Methane absorption coefficients for the jovian planets from
 laboratory, Huygens, and HST data. *Icarus* 205, 674 694 (2010).
- ³⁰³ 23. Sromovsky, L.A. & Fry, P.M. Spatially resolved cloud structure on Uranus: Implications of
- near-IR adaptive optics imaging. *Icarus* **192**, 527 557 (2007).
- 24. Orton, G.S., Fletcher, L.N., Moses, J.I., Mainzer, A., Hines, D., Hammel, H., Martin-Torres,
- J., Burgdorf, M., Merlet, C. & Line, M.R. 1. Mid-Infrared spectroscopy of Uranus from the

307		Spitzer Infrared Spectrometer: Determination of the mean temperature of the upper tropo-
308		sphere and stratosphere. <i>Icarus</i> 243 , 494 – 513 (2014).
309	25.	Grevesse, N., Asplund, M. & Sauval, A.D. The solar chemical composition. Space Sci. Rev.
310		130 , 105 – 114 (2007).

26. Lodders. K. Solar System Abundances of the Elements, in Principles and Perspectives in 31 Cosmochemistry, Astrophysics and Space Science Proceedings, ISBN 978-3-642-10351-3. 312 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, p. 379 (2010). 313

Correspondence Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Patrick G. J. Irwin 314 (email: patrick.irwin@physics.ox.ac.uk). 315

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the United Kingdom Science and Technology Facilities Council 316 for funding this research and also to our support astronomers: Richard McDermid and Chad Trujillo (2009, 317 2010). The Gemini Observatory is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, 318 Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the NSF on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science 319 Foundation (United States), the Science and Technology Facilities Council (United Kingdom), the National 320 Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the Australian Research Council (Australia), Ministério da 321 Ciência e Tecnologia (Brazil) and Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (Argentina). 322 We thank Larry Sromovsky for providing the code used to generate our Rayleigh-scattering opacities. Glenn 323 Orton was supported by NASA funding to the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology. 324 Leigh Fletcher was supported by a Royal Society Research Fellowship at the University of Leicester. 325

Author Contributions P.G.J.I. wrote the proposal to make the original observations and reduced the data 326

and re-analysed it using the NEMESIS code; B.B. and R.G. assisted in identifying and validating the line
data used. G.A.O. provided the Spitzer T-P profile used. L.N.F., N.A.T., D.T., and all co-authors contributed
to the analysis, interpretation of the results, and all co-wrote the final paper.

330 **Competing Interests** The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

331 2 Figure Legends

Figure 1. The appearance and spectrum of Uranus at the near-infrared wavelengths observed by 332 Gemini/NIFS and associated absorption spectra of CH₄, NH₃ and H₂S. Panel A: The appearance 333 of Uranus at 1.55 μ m (low methane absorption, showing reflection for cloud/haze at all vertical 334 levels), observed with Gemini/NIFS on 2nd November 2010 at approximately 06:00UT, showing 335 the position of the seven 5×5 pixel test areas picked for retrieval analysis. Panel B: The appearance 336 of Uranus at 1.62 μ m (high methane absorption, showing reflection from upper atmospheric haze 337 only). Panel C: Reference spectrum of Uranus¹⁶ analysed in this study, averaged over area '1' just 338 north of the equator, near the disc centre, with a mean latitude of 15.3°N, and error estimates shown 339 in grey. Panel D: Mean strength listed in the k-distribution tables used in this study across the 340 Gemini/NIFS spectral range. These absorption tables were generated from the WKLMC@80K+14 341 database for CH₄, and from HITRAN2012¹⁵ for H₂S and NH₃. These mean absorption coefficients 342 have been computed at a temperature of 100 K and pressure of 1 atm, similar to conditions found at 343 the tops of Uranus' main visible clouds. Note that for NH_3 , the linedata in HITRAN2012 terminate 344 at 1.587 μ m, roughly half way through the H₂S absorption band. 345

Figure 2. Assumed pressure variation of temperature (left-hand panel) and condensible abundances (right-hand panel) assumed in this study for Uranus. The temperature-pressure profile is based on the 'F1' profile³. The vertical variation of the CH_4 abundance is as described in the text. The abundances of NH_3 and H_2S have simply been limited by their saturation vapour pressures.

Figure 3. Fits to average Gemini/NIFS observation of Uranus, made on 2nd November 2010 350 at 15.3° N, using three different assumptions for the *a priori* imaginary refractive index spectrum, 351 and excluding H₂S and NH₃ absorption. The red lines shows the results using $n_i = 0.001 \pm 0.0005$, 352 the black lines shows the results using $n_i = 0.01 \pm 0.005$, while the blue lines show the results 353 using $n_i = 0.1 \pm 0.05$. Panel a) shows the fits to the measured spectra, panel b) shows the difference 354 between the observed and modelled spectra. Panel c) shows the fitted imaginary refractive index 355 spectra of the one type of particle assumed right hand plot, while panel d) shows the fitted cloud 356 profiles (opacity/bar at 1.6 μ m). In panels c) and d) the *a priori* value and range is marked in light 357 grey, while the error range on the retrieved quantities is indicated in darker grey. The χ^2/n of the 358 fits is also shown in panel a). 359

Figure 4. Fits to the co-added Gemini/NIFS observation of Uranus in the wavelength range 1.56 – 1.6 μ m. In the top plot, the observed reflectivity spectrum and estimated error is shown in grey, the fitted spectrum when H₂S absorption is not included is shown in red, while the fitted spectrum when H₂S absorption **is** included is shown in black. The bottom plot shows the differences between these fits and the observed spectrum using the same colours (i.e. red when H₂S absorption is not included and black when it is), with the error range again shown in grey. The ³⁶⁶ blue line in the bottom plot shows how the calculated spectrum for the fit when H₂S absorption is ³⁶⁷ not included (i.e. red line in the top plot) changes when H₂S absorption is added (leaving all other ³⁶⁸ fitted parameters unchanged), assuming a profile with 100% relative humidity (RH). The cyan line ³⁶⁹ shows how the calculated spectrum changes when NH₃ absorption is added, leaving all other fitted ³⁷⁰ parameters unchanged, assuming a profile with 1000 times the *a priori* NH₃ profile with 100% ³⁷¹ RH.

372 Methods

Spectral Data Sources The main gaseous absorber in the H-band $(1.4 - 1.8 \,\mu\text{m})$ in Uranus' spec-373 trum is methane. The best available source of methane line data at low temperature in this range is 374 the WKLMC@80K+¹⁴ line database, which contains the positions, strengths, lower-state energies 375 and empirical estimates of the rotational quantum number J, of lines measured at 80K and 296K. 376 These lines are improved over the WKMC@80²⁷ database, previously used to analyse the Gem-377 ini/NIFS observations reanalysed here for Uranus² as they include extra lines that were detected at 378 296K, but not at 80K, and we have further improved our assignment of line widths, as described 379 below. For the lines detected at 296K, but not 80K, lower state energies were defined so as to yield 380 an intensity at 80 K just below the measurement sensitivity threshold. Hence, the contribution of 381 these lines at cold temperatures bears significant uncertainty. For the lines detected at 80K, but not 382 296 K, the lower state energies were arbitrarily set to -1.0 cm^{-1} . These line data were converted 383 to HITRAN format, using the listed strengths at 296 K directly for lines observed at both 80 K and 384 296 K, and for lines observed only at 80K, we extrapolated their strengths to 296 K using the listed 385

arbitrary lower state energy of -1.0 cm^{-1} and total partition function (rotational + vibrational) 386 provided as part of HITRAN2012¹⁵. The spectral range covered by these data is 5852 - 7919387 cm^{-1} (1.262 – 1.709 μm). These measurements were made for "natural" methane gas, for which 388 the CH₃D/CH₄ ratio is estimated¹⁴ to be 5×10^{-4} . This is not suitable for calculations in Uranus' 389 atmosphere, for which the most precise estimate²⁸ of CH₃D/CH₄ is $(2.96^{+0.71}_{-0.64}) \times 10^{-4}$ (using an 390 isotopic enrichment factor²⁹ of $f = 1.68 \pm 0.23$). Hence, lines for CH₃D were scaled in strength 39 by 2.96/5. For the foreign-broadened line widths, we used J-dependent H_2 - and He-broadened 392 widths³⁰, to which we fitted a 4th-order polynomial in J, using the widths calculated for J = 13393 for higher values of J to prevent inaccurate extrapolation^{31,32}. We assumed temperature depen-394 dence coefficients of these foreign-broadened widths for H₂-broadening³³ and He-broadening³⁵. 395 For the line shape, we used a Voigt function, but with sub-Lorentzian correction far from line cen-396 tre as recommended for H₂-broadening conditions³⁶. However, we also tested the sub-Lorentzian 397 corrections suggested for Titan spectra³⁷ and a sub-Lorentzian correction previously suggested for 398 modelling Uranus spectra³⁸. Using these three different line shapes we took account of all lines 399 within 250 cm^{-1} of each calculation wavelength. 400

Spectroscopic line data for hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) and ammonia (NH₃) were taken from HITRAN2012¹⁵. The line widths and their temperature exponents were also taken from the foreignbroadened data listed in HITRAN2012. For H₂S these are $\gamma_{air} = 0.074$ cm⁻¹ atm⁻¹ and a temperature exponent of 0.75, for all lines. HITRAN2012 note that detailed laboratory investigations are needed to characterise how the line widths vary with the ro-vibrational quantum number, and there appears to be no published evidence on the appropriate values for an H₂/He-broadening

atmosphere. Similarly, for NH₃, we used the published HITRAN2012 foreign-broadening pa-407 rameters. If the absorption of NH₃ had proved to be significant, we might have attempted to 408 use line-broadening parameters more suited to H₂/He-broadening conditions. However, as re-409 ported in our paper, the absorption of NH₃ was not detected in these observations and hence there 410 was no error introduced by using the listed HITRAN2012 air-broadened widths. We also exam-411 ined using ExoMOL line data for H₂S³⁹ and found negligible differences in the spectra computed 412 at Gemini/NIFS resolution with the HITRAN2012 line data. Since the HITRAN2012 line data 413 are much easier to handle (they contain far fewer 'hot lines', which are only relevant for high-414 temperature calculations) and probably have better constrained line frequencies, we decided to use 415 HITRAN2012 for both NH₃ and H₂S line data. In both cases, in the absence of any better informa-416 tion and in the expectation of weak absorptions (for which the exact line widths are less important), 417 we used the published HITRAN2012 air-broadened widths and a Voigt line shape, with a line wing 418 cut-off of 35 cm⁻¹ to account for typical sub-Lorentzian wing corrections. 419

The line data were converted to k-distribution look-up tables, or k-tables, covering the Gemini/NIFS H-band spectral range, with 20 g-ordinates, 15 pressures, equally spaced in log pressure between 10^{-4} and 10 bar, and 14 temperatures, equally spaced between 50 and 180 K. These tables were precomputed with the modelled instrument line shape of the Gemini/NIFS observations, set to be Gaussian with a full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) of 0.0003 μ m, after an analysis of ARC lamp calibration spectra².

Observations and wavelength calibration Observations of Uranus were made with Gemini North's Near-infrared Integral Field Spectrometer (NIFS) instrument in September 2009 and Octo-

ber/November 2010^{16,17}, with adaptive optics using Uranus' nearby moons for wavefront sensing 428 (e.g. Ariel, Titania). NIFS' H-band spectral resolution gives a Gaussian instrument function with 429 spectral resolution of FWHM = 0.0003 μ m. The wavelength calibration provided by the standard 430 pipeline of Gemini/NIFS was found to be not quite accurate enough to match the spectral features 431 observed here. The assumed wavelength of sample *i* in the spectrum is set to $\lambda_i = \lambda_0 + (i - i_0)\lambda_1$ 432 , where i_0 is the sample number of the 'central' wavelength, and the wavelength centre and step 433 were initially assumed to be $\lambda_0 = 1.55 \ \mu m$ and $\lambda_1 = 0.000155 \ \mu m$, respectively. By comparing 434 the measured spectrum to our initial fitted spectrum we found that we could achieve a much better 435 fit by modifying these values to $\lambda_0 = 1.54995 \ \mu m$ and $\lambda_1 = 0.00016036 \ \mu m$. We used these values 436 in the subsequent analysis. 437

Uranus vertical profiles of temperature and gaseous abundance The reference temperature 438 and abundance profile used in this study (Fig. 2) is based on the 'F1' STIS/Voyager-2 profile³. 439 This profile has a deep methane mole fraction of $4\%^{19}$, and has a varying relative humidity with 440 height above the condensation level. The He:H₂ ratio in this profile is set to 0.131 and the profile 441 includes 0.04% mole fraction of Ne. To this profile we added abundance profiles of NH₃ and H₂S, 442 assuming arbitrary 'deep' mole fractions (i.e. above the putative NH_4SH cloud) of 0.001 for both, 443 and limited their abundance to not exceed the saturated vapour pressure in the troposphere as the 444 temperature falls with height. As the abundances of these gases (and CH_4) decrease with pressure 445 the abundance of H_2 and He is adjusted to ensure the sum of mole fractions adds to unity (keeping 446 He:H₂ = 0.131, or equivalently 12:88); the heights are calculated from the hydrostatic equation 447 using the local temperature, gravitational acceleration and local mean total molecular weight. 448

For comparison we also performed retrievals using the temperature-pressure profile determined from Spitzer observations of Uranus' mid-IR spectrum²⁴, again with 'deep' NH₃ and H₂S abundances of 0.001. H₂ and He were assumed to be present with a ratio 85:15, again ensuring the sum of mole fractions adds to unity at all heights.

Radiative-transfer analysis The vertical cloud structure was retrieved from the Gemini/NIFS ob-453 servations using the NEMESIS¹⁸ radiative-transfer and retrieval code. NEMESIS models planetary 454 spectra either using a line-by-line model, or by using the correlated-k approximation⁴⁰. For speed, 455 these retrievals were conducted using the method of correlated-k, but we regularly checked that 456 we obtained the same model spectra (to within error) using a line-by-line approach. To model 457 these reflected-sunlight spectra, a matrix-operator multiple-scattering model⁴¹ was used, with 5 458 zenith angles (i.e. 5 upwards and 5 downwards) and the number of required components in the 459 Fourier azimuth decomposition determined from the maximum of the reflected or incident-solar 460 zenith angles. The collision-induced absorption of H2-H2 and H2-He was modelled with published 461 coefficients^{42–44}. Rayleigh scattering was also included for completeness, but was found to be 462 negligible at these wavelengths. 463

To analyse the measured radiance spectra within our radiative transfer model we initially used the high-resolution 'CAVIAR' solar spectrum⁴⁵, which we smoothed to the NIFS resolution of $\Delta \lambda = 0.0003 \mu$ m. However, we found that this spectrum (and others, e.g.^{46,47}) contained spurious 'Fraunhofer lines' that did not seem to correspond to features seen at these wavelengths in the Uranus spectra. We must assume that the method used to generate these 'Extraterrestrial Solar Spectra' (ESS), namely measuring the solar spectrum at the ground at various zenith angles and extrapolating to an airmass of zero, leads to small errors at these wavelengths. Hence, we used
a smoothed version of the solar spectrum⁴⁷ in our calculations, omitting the spurious 'Fraunhofer
lines', which we found matched our observations much more closely.

The observed spectrum (with $n_y = 937$ spectral points) was fitted with NEMESIS using a 473 continuous distribution of cloud particles whose opacity at 39 levels spaced between ~ 10 and 474 ~ 0.01 bar was retrieved. For this cloud profile the *a priori* opacity values (at 1.6 μ m) were set to 475 $0.001 \pm 0.0005 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2$ at all levels (equating to opacity/bar values of ~ 1), with a 'correlation 476 length' of 1.5 scale heights to ensure the profile was vertically smooth. NEMESIS treats cloud 477 opacity as log values and so the error was converted to $\pm 50\%$. The particles were assumed to have 478 a standard Gamma size distribution with mean radius 1.0 μ m and variance 0.05, which are typical 479 values assumed in previous analyses. Using a previously published technique²¹, NEMESIS was 480 used to retrieve the imaginary refractive index spectrum of these particles. The *a priori* imaginary 481 refractive index spectrum was sampled at every 0.05 μ m between 1.4 and 1.8 μ m, with a 'cor-482 relation length' of 0.1 μ m set in the covariance matrix, to ensure that retrieved spectrum varied 483 reasonably smoothly with wavelength. At each iteration of the model, the real part of the parti-484 cles' refractive index spectrum was computed from the imaginary part using the Kramers-Kronig 485 relation⁴⁸, fixing the real part of the refractive index of these particles to 1.4 at a wavelength of 486 1.6 μ m. Self-consistent scattering properties were then calculated using Mie theory, but the Mie-487 calculated phase functions were approximated with combined Henyey-Greenstein functions at each 488 wavelength to smooth-over features peculiar to perfectly spherical scatterers such as the 'rainbow' 489 and 'glory'. This is justified since we expect the actual aerosols in the atmosphere of Uranus to 490

⁴⁹¹ be solid condensates, and thus non-spherical. However, assuming these non-spherical particles ⁴⁹² are randomly orientated with respect to each other, the bulk scattering properties, such as cross-⁴⁹³ section and single-scattering albedo, are reasonably approximated with Mie theory³⁴, especially if ⁴⁹⁴ the phase functions are also modified as we describe.

Since methane is the main gaseous absorber we tested to see whether some of the approx-495 imations assumed in the WKLMC@80K+¹⁴ line database might be having an adverse effect on 496 our calculations. We first checked whether excluding the lines observed at 296 K, but not at 80 497 K (and which are assigned a lower state energy high enough to reduce the computed strength at 498 80 K to be below the measurement noise limit) might significantly affect the calculated spectra, 499 but found very little difference when these lines were neglected. We also checked the effect ex-500 cluding the lines observed only at 80 K as well (and which are assigned an arbitrary lower state 501 energy of -1 cm^{-1}). In this case, the differences were larger, but on the whole the model correctly 502 reproduced the shape and main features of the observed spectrum. 503

Retrieval Tests Supplementary Fig. 12 shows our fit to the Uranus spectrum, setting the *a pri*-504 *ori* imaginary refractive indices to 0.01 ± 0.005 at all wavelengths and using the three different 505 sub-Lorentzian line shapes for CH₄ (neglecting H₂S and NH₃ absorption). We found that each 506 assumption for the sub-Lorentzian correction gave a very similar fit to the spectrum ($\chi^2/n \sim$ 507 1.7–1.9), which was initially puzzling. However, the reason for this is easy to understand from 508 Supplementary Fig. 12. The effect of different sub-Lorentzian corrections is most apparent on the 509 shortwave side of the strong absorption band at $1.7 - 1.8 \mu m$ and previous studies have tuned the 510 correction to get the best match to the observed spectrum between 1.5 and 1.62 μ m. Our current 511

model, however, can very easily fit this region by varying the imaginary refractive index spectrum 512 of the particles and it can be seen that very different imaginary refractive index spectra are retrieved 513 for the three different sub-Lorentzian corrections, but very similar vertical cloud distributions and 514 similar spectral fits. In other words, there is a degeneracy between the sub-Lorentzian corrections 515 and the retrieved imaginary refractive index. In fact, we had to be careful not to allow the imagi-516 nary refractive index retrieval too much freedom. Early retrievals sampled the imaginary refractive 517 index spectrum more finely ($\Delta \lambda = 0.005 \mu$ m) over the 1.56 – 1.6 μ m range and significant part 518 of the spectral variation of reflectivity was accounted for by variations in n_i , which it was difficult 519 to justify as being realistic. We thus assumed the slow wavelength-to-wavelength variation in n_i 520 as described. Since the line shape recommended for H₂-He atmospheres³⁶ gave a good fit to the 521 observations, we chose to use this assumption in our final analysis. 522

Scattering Properties Since the fitted imaginary refractive index spectrum for our cloud particles 523 has values of typically $n_i \sim 0.06$, this leads the particles to be quite absorbing. This can best be 524 seen in Supplementary Fig. 1, where we compare the computed wavelength dependence of the 525 extinction cross-section (normalised to 1.6 μ m), the single-scattering albedo, and the asymmetry, 526 g, of the forward part of the fitted combined Henyey-Greenstein phase functions for the case when 527 the *a priori* imaginary refractive indices were set to 0.01 ± 0.005 . We found the back-scattering 528 part of the phase-function to be insignificant. As we can see the single-scattering albedo has values 529 of $\varpi = 0.7 - 0.8$, while the phase function asymmetry, g, is ~ 0.7 . 530

3 Data availability statement

The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

534 4 Methods References

- ⁵⁹⁵ 27. Campargue, A., Wang, L., Mondelain, D., Kassi, S., Bézard, B., Lellouch, E., Coustenis, A.,
- de Bergh, C., Hirtzig, M. & Drossart, P. An empirical line list for methane in the 1.26 1.71
- μ m region for planetary investigations (T = 80 300 K). Application to Titan. *Icarus* **219**, 110 128 (2012).
- ⁵⁴⁰ 28. Feuchtgruber, H., Lellouch, E., Orton, G., de Graauw, T., Vandenbussche, B., Swinyard, B.,
 ⁵⁴¹ Moreno, R., Jarchow, C., Billebaud, F., Cavalié, T., Sidher, S. & Hartogh, P. The D/H ratio in
 ⁵⁴² the atmospheres of Uranus and Neptune from Herschel-PACS observations. *Astron. Astrophys.*⁵⁴³ **551**, A126 (2013).
- ⁵⁴⁴ 29. Lécluse, C., Robert, F., Gautier, D. & Guiraud, M. Deuterium enrichment in giant planets.
 ⁵⁴⁵ *Planet. Space Sci.* 44, 1579 1592 (1996).

⁵⁴⁶ 30. Pine A. S. Self-, N₂-, O₂-, H₂-, Ar-, and He- broadening in the ν_3 band Q branch of CH₄. J.

547 *Chem. Physics* **97**, 773 – 785 (1992).

- ⁵⁴⁸ 31. Amundsen D. S., Baraffe I., Tremblin P., Manners J., Hayek W., Mayne N. J. & Acreman D.
- 549 M. Accuracy tests of radiation schemes used in hot Jupiter global circulation models. *Astron.*

- 550 *Astrophys.* **564**, A59 (2014).
- 32. Garland, R. & Irwin, P.G.J., 2018. Effectively calculating gaseous absorption in radiative
 transfer models of exoplanetary and brown dwarf atmospheres. *Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc.* (in
 preparation, 2018).
- ⁵⁵⁴ 33. Margolis J. S. Hydrogen broadening and collision-induced line shifts of methane at 4200 ⁵⁵⁵ cm⁻¹. *J.Q.S.R.T.* **49**, 71 – 79 (1993).
- ⁵⁵⁶ 34. Mishchenko M. I., Travis, L. D., Khan, R.A. & West, R. A. Modeling phase functions for dust-
- ⁵⁵⁷ like tropospheric aerosols using a shape mixture of randomly oriented polydisperse spheroids.
- 558 J. Geophys. Res. 102, 16831 16847 (1997).
- ⁵⁵⁹ 35. Varanasi P. & Chudamani S. The temperature dependence of lineshifts, linewidths and line ⁵⁶⁰ intensities of methane at low temperatures. *J.Q.S.R.T.* **43**, 1 - 11 (1990).
- ⁵⁶¹ 36. Hartmann, J.-M., Boulet, C., Brodbeck, C., van Thanh, N., Fouchet, T. & Drossart, P. A far
- wing lineshape for H₂-broadened CH₄ infrared transitions. J.Q.S.R.T. **72**, 117 122 (2002).
- ⁵⁶³ 37. de Bergh, C., Courtin, R., Bézard, B., Coustenis, A., Lellouch, E., Hirtzig, M., Rannou, P., ⁵⁶⁴ Drossart, P., Campargue, A., Kassi, S., Wang, L., Boudon, V., Nikitin, A. & Tyuterev, V. ⁵⁶⁵ Applications of a new set of methane line parameters to the modeling of Titan's spectrum in ⁵⁶⁶ the 1.58 μ m window. *Planet. Space Sci.* **61**, 85 – 98 (2012).
- 38. L.A. Sromovsky, Fry, P.M., Boudon, V., Campargue, A. & Nikitin, A. Comparison of line by-line and band models of near-IR methane absorption applied to outer planet atmospheres.
 Icarus 218, 1 23 (2012).

570	39. Azzam, A. A. A., Tennyson, J., Yurchenko, S. N. & Naumenko, O. V. ExoMol molecular line
571	lists - XVI: The rotation-vibration spectrum of hot H_2S . Mon. Not. Roy. Ast. Soc. 460, 4063 –
572	4074 (2016).

- 40. Lacis, A.A. & Oinas, V. A description of the correlated-k distribution method for modelling
 nongray gaseous absorption, thermal emission, and multiple scattering in vertically inhomogeneous atmospheres. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 9027 9063 (1991).
- ⁵⁷⁶ 41. Plass, G.N., Kattawar, G.W. & Catchings, F.E. Matrix operator method of radiative transfer.
 ⁵⁷⁷ 1: Rayleigh scattering. *Appl. Opt.* 12, 314 329 (1973).
- 42. Borysow, A. Modeling of collision-induced infrared absorption spectra of $H_2 H_2$ pairs in the

⁵⁷⁹ fundamental band at temperatures from 20 to 300 K. *Icarus* **92**, 273 – 279 (1991).

- 43. Borysow, A. New model of collision-induced infrared absorption spectra of H₂ He pairs in the 2 – 2.5 μ m range at temperatures from 20 to 300 K – An update. *Icarus* **96**, 169 – 175 (1992).
- ⁵⁸³ 44. Zheng, C. & Borysow, A. Modeling of collision-induced infrared absorption spectra of H_2 ⁵⁸⁴ pairs in the first overtone band at temperatures from 20 to 500 K. *Icarus* **113**, 84 – 90 (1995).
- 45. Menang, K.P., Coleman, M.D., Gardiner, T.D., Ptashnik, I.V. & Shine, K.P. A high-resolution
 near-infrared extraterrestrial solar spectrum derived from ground-based Fourier transform
 spectrometer measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* 118, 5319 5331 (2013).
- 46. Fiorenza, C. & Formisano, V. A solar spectrum for PFS data analysis. *Planet. Space Sci.* 53, 1009 1016 (2005).

590	47.	Thuillier, G., Hersé, M., Labs, D., Foujols, T., Peetermans, W., Gillotay, D., Simon, P.C. &
591		Mandel, H. The solar spectral irradiance from 200 to 2400 nm as measured by the SOLSPEC
592		spectrometer from the ATLAS and EURECA missions. Solar Physics 214, 1 – 22 (2003).
593	48.	Sheik-Bahae. Nonlinear Optics Basics. Kramers-Kronig Relations in Nonlinear Optics. In

⁵⁹⁴ Robert D. Guenther. *Encyclopedia of Modern Optics*. Amsterdam: Academic Press (2005).

5 Figures and Tables 595

	<u>Table 1: Retrieval results at all areas considered on Uranus' disc.</u>								
Area	Latitude	p_1	f_{H_2S}	χ^2/n	χ^2/n_y	$\Delta \chi^2$	x_{H_2S}	A_{H_2S}	R_H
1^a	15.3°N	1.99	113 ± 12	1.30	1.23	367.3	0.47	2.7	2.1
2	13.8°N	2.00	134 ± 19	1.04	0.99	140.7	0.58	3.3	2.3
3	15.3°S	2.10	123 ± 16	1.23	1.17	225.1	0.80	4.9	2.5
4	32.5°N	1.88	303 ± 45	1.31	1.24	218.7	0.82	4.4	2.6
5	44.7°N	1.66	474 ± 84	1.33	1.26	172.9	0.43	2.1	2.8
6^b	62.0°N	1.56	252 ± 211	1.31	1.24	1.8	0.13	0.6	3.9
7	4.9°N	1.98	96 ± 9	1.57	1.48	333.8	0.38	2.2	2.2
1^c	15.3°N	2.28	16 ± 2	1.36	1.29	292.1	0.43	2.9	2.1

Notes: p_1 is the pressure(bar) where the cloud opacity to space is unity; f_{H_2S} is the retrieved H₂S relative humidity (%); χ^2/n is the reduced chi-squared statistic of the fit when H₂S is included, where $n = n_y - n_x =$ 889; χ^2/n_y is the chi-squared statistic of the fit when H₂S is included, where $n_y = 937$; $\Delta \chi^2$ is how much the χ^2 of the fit reduces when H₂S absorption is included – values greater than 9 can be considered significant; x_{H_2S} is mole fraction of H₂S (ppm) at p_1 ; A_{H_2S} is the column amount of H₂S (10¹⁹ molecule cm⁻²) above p_1 ; R_H is a haze 'index' – the ratio of the average radiance from 1.63 – 1.64 μ m divided by the average radiance from 1.57 –1.58 μ m, expressed as %.

Further notes: ^aArea 1 is the main area studied; ^bFor polar area 6, where the radiance is lower, the measurement errors did not need to be multiplied by 1.6 ensure a good χ^2/n ; ^cSame area a s reference, but analysed using the Spitzer temperature profile, rather than 'F1'.



Figure 1: The appearance and spectrum of Uranus at the near-infrared wavelengths observed by Gemini/NIFS and associated absorption spectra of CH₄, NH₃ and H₂S. Panel A: The appearance of Uranus at 1.55 μ m (low methane absorption, showing reflection for cloud/haze at all vertical levels), observed with Gemini/NIFS on 2nd November 2010 at approximately 06:00UT, showing the position of the seven 5×5 pixel test areas picked for retrieval analysis. Panel B: The appearance of Uranus at 1.62 μ m (high methane absorption, showing reflection from upper atmospheric haze only). Panel C: Reference spectrum of Uranus¹⁶ analysed in this study, averaged over area '1' just north of the equator, near the disc centre, with a mean latitude of 15.3°N, and error estimates shown in grey. Panel D: Mean strength listed in the k-distribution tables used in this study across the Gemini/NIFS spectral range. These absorption tables were generated from the WKLMC@80K+¹⁴ database for CH₄, and from HITRAN2012¹⁵ for H₂S and NH₃. These mean absorption coefficients have been computed at a temperature of 100 K and pressure of 1 atm, similar to conditions found at the tops of Uranus' main visible clouds. Note that for NH₃, the linedata in HITRAN2012 terminate at 1.587 μ m, roughly half way through the H₂S absorption band.



Figure 2: Assumed pressure variation of temperature (left-hand panel) and condensible abundances (right-hand panel) assumed in this study for Uranus. The temperature-pressure profile is based on the 'F1' profile³. The vertical variation of the CH_4 abundance is as described in the text. The abundances of NH_3 and H_2S have simply been limited by their saturation vapour pressures.



Figure 3: Fits to average Gemini/NIFS observation of Uranus, made on 2nd November 2010 at 15.3° N, using three different assumptions for the *a priori* imaginary refractive index spectrum, and excluding H₂S and NH₃ absorption. The red lines shows the results using $n_i = 0.001 \pm 0.0005$, the black lines shows the results using $n_i = 0.01 \pm 0.005$, while the blue lines show the results using $n_i = 0.1 \pm 0.05$. Panel a) shows the fits to the measured spectra, panel b) shows the difference between the observed and modelled spectra. Panel c) shows the fitted imaginary refractive index spectra of the one type of particle assumed right hand plot, while panel d) shows the fitted cloud profiles (opacity/bar at 1.6 μ m). In panels c) and d) the *a priori* value and range is marked in light grey, while the error range on the retrieved quantities is indicated in darker grey. The χ^2/n of the fits is also shown in panel a).



Figure 4: Fits to the co-added Gemini/NIFS observation of Uranus in the wavelength range 1.56 – 1.6 μ m. In the top plot, the observed reflectivity spectrum and estimated error is shown in grey, the fitted spectrum when H₂S absorption is not included is shown in red, while the fitted spectrum when H₂S absorption **is** included is shown in black. The bottom plot shows the differences between these fits and the observed spectrum using the same colours (i.e. red when H₂S absorption is not included and black when it is), with the error range again shown in grey. The blue line in the bottom plot shows how the calculated spectrum for the fit when H₂S absorption is not included (i.e. red line in the top plot) changes when H₂S absorption is added (leaving all other fitted parameters unchanged), assuming a profile with 100% relative humidity (RH). The cyan line shows how the calculated spectrum changes when NH₃ absorption is added, leaving all other fitted parameters unchanged, assuming a profile with 1000 times the *a priori* NH₃ profile with 100% RH.