1	Towards an operational anthropogenic CO ₂ emissions monitoring and verification support
2	capacity METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY 1919
3	G. Janssens-Maenhout ¹ , B. Pinty ¹ , M. Dowell ¹ , H. Zunker ² , E. Andersson ² , G. Balsamo ⁵ , JL.
4	Bézy ¹¹ , T. Brunhes ³ , H. Bösch ¹⁴ , B. Bojkov ⁹ , D. Brunner ¹⁵ , M. Buchwitz ¹⁶ , D. Crisp ¹⁷ , P. Ciais ⁴ ,
5	P. Counet ⁹ , D. Dee ⁵ , H. Denier van der Gon ⁶ , H. Dolman ⁷ , M. Drinkwater ¹¹ , O. Dubovik ²³ , R.
6	Engelen ⁵ , T. Fehr ¹¹ , V. Fernandez ¹¹ , M. Heimann ⁸ , K. Holmlund ⁹ , S. Houweling ^{7,21} , R.
7	Husband ²¹ , O. Juvyns ³ , A. Kentarchos ¹⁰ , J. Landgraf ²¹ , R. Lang ⁹ , A. Löscher ¹¹ , J. Marshall ⁸ , Y.
8	Meijer ¹¹ , M. Nakajima ¹⁸ , P.I. Palmer ¹² , P. Peylin ⁴ , P. Rayner ¹⁹ , M. Scholze ¹³ , B. Sierk ¹¹ , J.
9	Tamminen ²⁴ , P. Veefkind ²²
10	
11	1 European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Directorate Natural Resources, Ispra, Italy
12	2 European Commission, DG Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship & SMEs, Brussels,
13	Belgium
14	3 European Commission, DG Climate Action, Brussels, Belgium
15	4 Lab. des Sciences du Climat et de l'Env., University of Paris and Versailles, St. Quentin,
16	France
17	5 European Centre Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK
18	6 TNO, Climate, Air and Sustainability, Utrecht, Netherlands
19	7 Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
20	8 Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany
21	9 European Organisation for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites, Darmstadt,
22	Germany
23	10 European Commission, DG Research and Innovation, Brussels, Belgium

1

Early Online Release: This preliminary version has been accepted for publication in *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, may be fully cited, and has been assigned DOI 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0017.1. The final typeset copyedited article will replace the EOR at the above DOI when it is published.

- 24 11 European Space Agency, Noordwijk, Netherlands
- 25 12 University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 26 13 Lund University, Lund, Sweden
- 27 14 University of Leicester, Leicester, UK
- 28 15 EMPA Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science & Technology, Dübendorf,

29 Switzerland

- 30 16 Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP), University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
- 31 17 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, California, USA
- 32 18 Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
- 33 19 University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
- 34 20 Consultant, Ballacooil, Lhagg Road, Dalby, Isle of Man, IM5 3BU, British Isles
- 35 21 SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Utrecht, Netherlands
- 36 22 Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut, AE De Bilt, Netherlands
- 37 23 Laboratoire d'Optique Atmosphérique, Université de Lille, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France
- 38 24 Finnish Meteorological Institute, Space & Earth Observation Centre, Helsinki, Finland

39

- 40 Note: the authors are part of the CO₂ Monitoring Task Force (MTF) and/or the Mission
- 41 Advisory Group (MAG). They contributed to the three reports of the CO₂ MTF or are leading
- 42 major research projects in support of building up the CO₂ Monitoring and Verification
- 43 Support capacity.

44

46 Corresponding author: Greet Janssens-Maenhout,

- 47 European Commission, Joint Research Centre (EC-JRC)
- 48 Directorate D Sustainable Resources
- 49 Unit D6 Knowledge for Sustainable Development & Food Security
- 50 Via E. Fermi, 2749, TP123, I-21027 Ispra (VA), Italy
- 51 greet.maenhout@ec.europa.eu
- 52 Office: B100/2317; Tel: +390332785831
- 53

54 Abstract

55 Under the Paris Agreement progress of emission reduction efforts is tracked on the basis of 56 regular updates to national Greenhouse Gas (GHG) inventories, referred to as bottom-up 57 estimates. However, only top-down atmospheric measurements can provide observation-58 based evidence of emission trends. Today there is no internationally agreed, operational 59 capacity to monitor anthropogenic GHG emission trends using atmospheric measurements 50 to complement national bottom-up inventories.

The European Commission (EC), the European Space Agency, the European Centre for 61 62 Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, the European Organisation for the Exploitation of 63 Meteorological Satellites and international experts, are joining forces to develop such an operational capacity for monitoring anthropogenic CO₂ emissions as a new CO₂ service 64 under EC's Copernicus Programme. Design studies have been used to translate identified 65 needs into defined requirements and functionalities of this anthropogenic CO₂ emissions 66 Monitoring and Verification Support (CO₂MVS) capacity. It adopts a holistic view and 67 68 includes components such as atmospheric space-borne and in-situ measurements, bottom-69 up CO₂ emission maps, improved modeling of the carbon cycle, an operational dataassimilation system integrating top-down and bottom-up information, and a policy-relevant 70 71 decision support tool.

The CO_2MVS capacity with operational capabilities by 2026, is expected to visualize regular updates of global CO_2 emissions, likely at $0.05^{\circ}x0.05^{\circ}$. This will complement the PA's enhanced transparency framework, providing actionable information on anthropogenic CO_2 emissions that are the main driver of climate change. This information will be available to all stakeholders, including governments and citizens, allowing them to reflect on trends and

- 77 effectiveness of reduction measures. The new EC gave green light to pass the CO₂MVS from
- 78 exploratory to implementing phase.

80 **1. Policy context**

Since the establishment of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 81 (UNFCCC) 25 years ago, many actions have been undertaken by the Conference of Parties 82 (COP) and the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC), but global emissions of 83 Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) have not yet been curbed. In 2015, transparency and 84 85 collaborative efforts were high on the agenda¹. This concluded with the Paris Agreement (PA) (UNFCCC, 2015), representing a paradigm shift because it downplays the distinction 86 between Annex-I (developed) and non-Annex-I (developing) Parties² for committing to 87 emission reduction and establishes an enhanced transparency framework, freely accessible 88 to all Parties. The enhanced transparency framework builds on the Monitoring-Reporting-89 Verifying framework, under which Parties provide their national GHG inventories compiled 90 91 in line with the IPCC (2006) Guidelines.

The UNFCCC's Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (UNFCCC-SBSTA, 2017, 2019) as well as the IPCC Task Force on the 2019 Refinement to the 2006 Guidelines (IPCC-TFI, 2019) acknowledged the complementary capability offered by GHGs monitoring through *in-situ* as well as satellite observations. Currently, only a few countries (UK, Switzerland, Australia and New Zealand) complement their national inventory data, based on annual statistics of human activities, with atmospheric observations (Bergamaschi et al., 2018).

99 More encouragement is needed to bridge the gap between the IPCC Task Force on 100 inventories, the IPCC Working Groups for assessments, and more generally the science 101 community involved in atmospheric GHG measurements and flux estimation (e.g., Le Quéré

¹ The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development in New York and the Climate Action agenda at COP21 in Paris ² Defined by the UNFCCC in its Annex

et al., 2018). From 2023 onwards the IPCC is expected to provide important input to the review of the national GHG inventories at the biennial Facilitative Multilateral Considerations of Progress (FMCP) or the five-yearly Global Stocktake (GST). Responding to the policy impetus at national, European Union (EU) and global scales, an expert panel from the European Commission (EC), Pinty et al. (2019), identified the high-level needs of Table 1 that have been translated into technical requirements.

Responsibilities and commitments are not only taken at governmental level but also by 108 cities (e.g., the Covenant of Mayors), power-plant operators, oil/gas multinationals, and 109 more. Multi-level governance schemes, involving municipal, regional and national 110 authorities, ask for GHG monitoring, not only with annual national totals, but also with 111 112 spatiotemporally resolved emissions. The tracking of emission reductions, as intended under the Nationally Determined Contributions (NDC), is facilitated by higher spatial resolution. As 113 shown for air pollutants: the Convention of Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution 114 (UNECE-CLRTAP, 2013) imposed from 2014 onwards that Parties report emissions (including 115 116 point sources) on spatial grids.

2. Five building blocks of the Anthropogenic CO₂ emissions Monitoring and Verification

118 Support (CO₂MVS) capacity

119 Through the CO₂ Monitoring Task Force, the EC elaborated the space- and ground-based 120 elements for an operational capacity, the so-called CO₂MVS, to monitor and verify 121 anthropogenic CO₂ emissions with observation-based evidence in support of climate 122 policymakers. The policy needs of Table 1 require the quantification of the anthropogenic 123 GHG emissions at high spatiotemporal resolution. The CO₂MVS capacity focuses initially on 124 the major contribution of the fossil fuel combustion emissions of CO₂ (ffCO₂), and then expands to include other human activities³ and other GHGs⁴. Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of the functional architecture of the fully integrated CO₂MVS capacity that includes five building blocks: prior information, observations (space-borne and *in-situ*), integration processes, output/results and decision support.

In a first exploratory phase, this CO₂MVS architecture was outlined by Ciais et al. (2015) and further elaborated in Pinty et al. (2017). Moreover, it appears in the Integrated Global GHG Information System of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) (DeCola, 2019) and the White Paper of the Community of Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) (Crisp et al., 2018). In December 2019, the EC agreed under the Green Deal to start the implementation phase of this CO₂MVS with the Directorate-General Climate Action (DG CLIMA) and EU Member States as main policy users.

136 **2.1. GHG emission inventories as prior information**

137 With the creation of the UNFCCC came the request for bottom-up emission inventories, especially of Annex-I countries, which were historically contributing the most to the 138 cumulative emissions. The bottom-up accounting of ffCO₂ emissions requires rigorous 139 140 energy statistics, which are based on monthly and annual fuel stock-exchanges with a closed 141 balance at global and annual scale. With surveys and measurements, the oxygenation factor and the net caloric value for each fuel type were quantified and ffCO₂ emissions were 142 computed. The PA Rulebook, published at the end of 2018, explained how the GST of 2023 143 will be undertaken with the inventories of the emissions from anthropogenic activities 144

 $^{^{3}}$ In particular the CO $_{2}$ sources and sinks of agriculture, forestry and land-use (AFOLU).

⁴ e.g., CH₄.

occurring during 2021. High quality inventories (with uncertainties \leq 3%) are not available for all countries (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2019)⁵.

147 Regional differences in processing model-ready input emission gridmaps, subsequently used as prior information, can influence model results, as illustrated by Pouliot et al. (2012). More 148 recently for CO₂, Wang et al. (2019) proposed an algorithm to aggregate gridcells of similar 149 emission fluxes and define a "clump" of area and point sources emitting plumes that will be 150 observable by the current generation of space-borne sensors; Nassar et al. (2013) 151 emphasized the need to include temporal variations of urban emissions; and Brunner et al. 152 (2019) highlighted the importance of the injection height and velocity of the CO₂ emissions 153 154 from power-plants and industrial facilities.

155 **2.2. Atmospheric observations and auxiliary data**

156 Space-borne observations

157 ENVISAT⁶, 2002-2012, was a pioneering space-borne mission with various instruments measuring the concentration of many atmospheric species. The SCIAMACHY⁷ instrument 158 159 measured amongst others GHGs, such as the column-averaged dry-air mole fractions of CO_2 and CH₄, denoted XCO₂ and XCH₄ (e.g., Schneising et al., 2013, Buchwitz et al., 2015, 2018). 160 Since 2009, GOSAT⁸ with the thermal and near infrared Fourier Transform spectrometer for 161 162 carbon observations and a cloud and aerosol imager is also delivering XCO₂ and XCH₄ 163 products (Yoshida et al., 2013, Crisp et al., 2012, Buchwitz et al., 2015). GOSAT-2 was launched in 2018 with considerably improved concentration measurement (see Table 2). 164

⁵ Uncertainties for national fossil fuel emission inventories range between 3% and 10% for different countries (Olivier et al., 2016)

⁶ The European ENVIronmental SATellite

⁷ Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric CHartographY

⁸ the Japanese GHGs Observing SATellite

OCO-2⁹, including a three-channel imaging grating spectrometer with on-orbit radiometric, spectroscopic and geometric calibration started delivering XCO₂ data with unprecedented high signal to noise ratio in 2014. The instrument yields the spatial structure of XCO₂ variations across megacities (Schwandner et al., 2017) and allows quantification of ffCO₂ plumes from individual power-plants (Nassar et al., 2017). TanSat¹⁰, launched in late 2016 with an atmospheric CO₂ grating spectrometer, may add another XCO₂ data-stream in the near future.

A constellation of European Low Earth Orbit (LEO) CO₂ satellite imagers (CO₂M) are now 172 committed by the EC under the aegis of the Copernicus programme with the main objective 173 to contribute significantly to the policy needs of Table 1, by increasing high-quality satellite 174 observations of XCO₂. The European Space Agency (ESA) leads the design of these CO₂M 175 176 LEOs with a broad-swath imaging grating spectrometer for CO₂, CH₄, NO₂ and aerosols and plans to deliver science-data from January 2026 onwards. The main technical specifications 177 of the CO₂M spectrometer¹¹, as described in detail in ESA's (2019) mission requirements 178 179 document v2.0, are summarized in Table 2 and compared to those of other, currently active 180 sensors.

The rationale for collocated observations of NO₂ is to better identify the location and shape of the CO₂ plumes. This takes advantage of the signal to noise ratio for NO₂ enhancements which is much larger than for CO₂ and not contaminated by biospheric emissions. Kuhlmann et al. (2019) demonstrated that auxiliary NO₂ measurements¹² greatly enhance the detection capability for ffCO₂-plume locations. Collocated regional enhancements of XCO₂

⁹ NASA's Orbiting Carbon Observatory

¹⁰ The Chinese Carbon Satellite

¹¹Auxiliary instruments on the same platform of the Copernicus CO₂M satellite include a NO₂ spectrometer, a Multi-angle polarimeter and a cloud imager.

¹² Rather than CO as tracer of incomplete fossil fuel combustion

observed by OCO-2 and NO₂ from the Sentinel-5 Precursor (S5P) satellite have already been
 used by Reuter et al. (2019) to estimate ffCO₂-plume cross-sectional fluxes and to assess the
 usefulness of simultaneous satellite observations of NO₂ and XCO₂. Auxiliary aerosol
 measurements are used to account for perturbations in the optical path of the CO₂ sensor
 due to aerosol scattering (Frankenberg et al., 2012)¹³.

191 In-situ measurements

192 The envisioned CO₂MVS requires *in-situ* observations for the following purposes:

193 1) To calibrate and validate the space component that will consist of column integrated *CO*₂ measurements from the ground to the top of the atmosphere: This can be based 194 on the global TCCON¹⁴ network, comprising large, upward-looking Bruker sun-195 spectrometers, supplemented by a similar network of smaller instruments, 196 COCCON¹⁵. Under clear-sky conditions, these data can be used after conversion using 197 the WMO-standard mole fraction CO₂ scale (Tans, 2009). Collocated vertical CO₂ 198 199 profile measurements are required to calibrate XCO₂ data from upward-looking spectrometers. Such profiles can be acquired using regular air-core measurements 200 (Karion et al., 2010) and/or using alternatives such as vertical CO₂ profiles collected 201 by regional aircrafts¹⁶. 202

203

204

2) As a backbone network providing high-quality controlled, homogeneous surface-layer observations (with expanded spatiotemporal coverage): In Europe, the in-situ

¹³ For local sources such as power-plants, CH₄ measurements support the accuracy of satellite retrieved XCO₂ through the proxy retrieval method (Frankenberg et al, 2005).

¹⁴ Total Carbon Column Observing Network (http://tccon.caltech.edu/)

¹⁵ COllaborative Carbon Column Observing Network (https://www.imk-asf.kit.edu/english/3221.php)

¹⁶ With passenger aircraft CO₂ profiles (e.g. the IAGOS -https://www.iagos.org/- and CONTRAIL-

http://www.cger.nies.go.jp/contrail/contrail.html- initiatives) collocation of sun-spectrometers is not achieved today and will require an extension of the TCCON and COCCON networks around airports.

205 measurements are coordinated by ICOS¹⁷. Currently, the ICOS network is not 206 homogeneously distributed and provides samples biased towards rural locations, 207 focusing more on biospheric than anthropogenic fluxes. Consequently, the current 208 network configuration does not sufficiently constrain ffCO₂ estimates.

3) Expansions of coordinated and inter-operable urban in-situ CO₂ networks, including 209 observations of ¹⁴C and other additional tracers: Measuring ¹⁴C concentrations in 210 atmospheric CO₂ is the best approach identified so far for separating ffCO₂ from the 211 natural fluxes because fossil fuels do not contain ¹⁴C (Levin et al., 2003, Turnbull et 212 al., 2006). Observations of ¹⁴C and ffCO₂ co-emitted species across major ffCO₂ 213 emitting regions will provide complementary information to satellites for quantifying 214 anthropogenic emissions from hot spots and for attributing the large-scale CO2-215 216 signal.

The CO₂MVS spans a range of scales, from large point sources to country scales, which adds 217 additional requirements for the in-situ component: denser networks of sun-spectrometers, 218 denser continental-scale networks of ground-based CO₂, tracers and ¹⁴C and portable 219 220 instruments and local/regional CO₂ networks around selected hotspot areas for city-scale and large industrial complexes to validate the gradients up and downwind of the emitting 221 sources. International coordination and standardization by WMO¹⁸ and sustained 222 operational and scientific funding are recommended for a successful implementation of the 223 CO₂MVS capacity by Pinty et al. (2019). 224

225 Meteorological and other auxiliary data

¹⁷ Integrated Carbon Observation System (https://www.icos-ri.eu/)

¹⁸ e.g., via the Global Atmosphere Watch (http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/gaw/gaw_home_en.html)

226 Meteorology is an important driver for the natural carbon cycle and meteorological fields 227 can be used as a proxy for the spatiotemporal distribution of temperature-dependent 228 anthropogenic emissions¹⁹. In addition, meteorological data are key to constrain the 229 atmospheric transport that links the observed atmospheric GHG concentrations and the 230 actual emissions. The foreseen CO₂MVS capacity can fully benefit here from the heritage of 231 Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP), with its operational data-exchange mechanisms.

In addition, FLUXNET²⁰, a global network of eddy covariance measurements of CO₂ and H₂O exchange fluxes between the Earth and the atmosphere, can provide important independent data. Similarly, observations of other trace gases and particulate matter coemitted with CO₂ can help identify spatiotemporal distribution of ffCO₂ emission sources. Some constituents are already monitored for air quality purposes (e.g., AERONET²¹, EIONET²² for aerosols) and temporal profiles are devised in air quality models (e.g.²³, Denier van der Gon et al., 2011).

239 **2.3. Integration and attribution system**

The integration and attribution system makes use of an ensemble of inverse modeling systems or data assimilation schemes with the scientific and operational attributes listed in Table 3. Such inversion systems or data assimilation schemes rely on atmospheric transport models linking the concentration observations to surface exchange fluxes. These can be defined on a model grid or represented by emission models and process parameters of

¹⁹ e.g., heating degree days for the distribution of the residential heating emissions.

²⁰ About 40 micrometeorological tower sites, included in the FLUXNET infrastructure, are measuring CO₂ emissions in urban areas (http://fluxnet.fluxdata.org/)

²¹ AErosol RObotic NETwork is a federation of ground-based remote sensing aerosol networks (https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/)

²² European Environment Information and Observation Network

²³ as selected for being implemented in the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service

these models. Both methods usually combine the information from various observational
data-sets with information from prior knowledge (e.g. model forecast or climatology) in a
Bayesian framework, i.e. by minimizing a cost function that takes the uncertainties of all the
data-sets into account.

Estimates of the model errors are accounted for by adding them to the observational 249 uncertainties or through the use of model ensembles²⁴. Examples for a gridded inversion 250 system can be found in Basu et al. (2013) or Gaubert et al. (2019) and for a process-based 251 252 scheme in Kaminski et al. (2019). Both approaches require consistency between all input data sets (preferably steered with a realistic prior) or a bias correction within the data 253 assimilation system itself (e.g. Dee and Uppala, 2008)²⁵. Earth Observations are a key driver 254 for Earth system modeling developments (Balsamo et al., 2018) representing natural and 255 256 human-induced disturbances in the water, energy and carbon cycle at the surface that are affecting CO₂ fluxes. 257

Super et al. (2017) explored the need for plume modeling to understand point sources in an 258 259 urban-industrial complex, under the impact of different scales of meteorology. Experience 260 with plume modeling has been gained mainly by the air quality community (see e.g., Leelőssy et al., 2014) but also by the CO₂ community (e.g., Kuhlmann et al., 2019, Nassar et 261 al., 2017). Although the long-lived CO_2 plume, with relatively small enhancement over the 262 ambient background, diffuses differently from the plume of a short-lived NO₂ air pollutant, 263 with relative high concentration enhancement in the atmosphere, both plumes show similar 264 265 structures and the NO₂ plume is a good marker of the CO₂ plume. For the plume or puff

²⁴ This is also envisaged in the Community Inversion Framework (CIF), under development in the H2020 project VERIFY (see section 4)

²⁵ The various input data-streams are then bias-corrected to a common baseline, which is defined by a data-set with high accuracy and precision.

266 modeling there is know-how available from dispersion studies of (radioactive) air pollution. 267 The modeling strategy for the CO₂MVS capacity combines different scales, from global to 268 local, in order to cover ultimately the NDCs over a region/country. Figure 2 illustrates the 269 challenge to link the local CO2 flux footprint region of the in-situ observations with the 270 country scale of the national inventories and NDCs.

271 **2.4. Output of the models**

Output of the CO₂MVS will address a variety of spatiotemporal scales and various user
communities.

At global level the GST is in line with the implementation of the PA. In 2028 the
 CO₂MVS capacity should help evaluating the bottom-up GHG estimates and their
 difference with respect to 2023, assessing the effectiveness of the reductions of the
 NDCs.

At country level the CO₂MVS needs to support the review of country budgets and to
 quantify through rigorous uncertainty propagation the impact of additional
 observational information into an uncertainty reduction in inferred emission fields
 (as illustrated for CH₄ by Bergamaschi et al., 2010).

At the level of sub-state actors, such as cities or industrial complexes, the
 spatiotemporal view on the emissions might reveal insights on the effectiveness of
 initiatives related to e.g. carbon trading, greening of cities and others. This new area
 of applications is where a significant contribution of an observation-driven
 operational CO₂MVS can be expected.

287

288 **2.5. Decision support tool with a posteriori evaluation of the GHG inventories**

An important spin-off from the CO₂MVS could be the provision of an assessment tool, open 289 to UNFCCC and its Parties for monitoring NDC implementation worldwide. This still demands 290 significant studies to determine the trends expected from the implementation of the NDCs, 291 and more specifically where, when and at what rate these trends are occurring. Most likely 292 293 one of the robust results of a space-based observation system will be the monitoring of XCO₂ trends and change in posterior emission fluxes over multiple years. These results will 294 295 yield spatiotemporal resolutions higher than possible on the sole basis of the national 296 inventories and should provide evidence for tracking progress towards the NDCs' reduction 297 targets. Moreover, maps of uncertainty reductions will inform where extra efforts such as 298 additional measurements and/or more accurate GHG accounting infrastructures would best 299 reduce the ffCO₂-budget uncertainty.

300 3. Long-term operations with an institutional framework consolidated by international 301 collaboration

To develop the operational CO₂MVS capacity, the EC coordinates efforts from three major 302 European institutions: ESA for developing the space segment, the European Organisation for 303 the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT) for operating the space segment, 304 305 and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) for providing the 306 modeling capacity required to integrate the overall observations. The initiative builds on existing modeling infrastructures, includes the design of a series of unprecedented satellite 307 308 and ground-based CO₂ and CH₄ observation systems, and capitalizes on model-based 309 analysis. EUMETSAT and ESA define the System Requirements (for the Space segment, the Operations and the Ground segment) and take care of the operation of the satellite with 310 continuous calibration/validation and data-transmission. EUMETSAT foresees full automatic 311

dissemination of the geophysical product data at native instrument resolution within 48 hours, such that ECMWF and its partners with full model setup can provide a Copernicus CO₂ service with quasi-near-real-time products.

After calibration and operational tests of the CO₂MVS capacity over selected European countries, it will be possible to apply the CO₂MVS globally. The global applications, for regions outside Europe, will require extra *in-situ* data, whose availability and access should be fostered by international collaborations. The EC and the relevant European institutional partners are already engaged bilaterally and multilaterally with international organizations²⁶ for the strategic, policy-relevant and technical dimensions related to the setup of a global CO₂ monitoring capacity.

322 4. Way forward and challenges ahead

Monitoring of the anthropogenic CO₂ emissions in a consistent and systematic manner for 323 324 all countries enables the identification of sources that can be further reduced in the GST assessments. This monitoring requires continuity of knowledge and data with sufficient 325 spatiotemporal coverage, because of the significant and expectedly increasing variability of 326 327 emission sources (e.g., with the renewables progressively replacing the fossil fuels). 328 Quantification of the CO₂ plumes from power-plants remains challenging, in particular when they are located in morphologically complex areas, such as near coastlines. Industrial 329 complexes and urban areas add another level of heterogeneity and complexity to the 330 plumes to be monitored. Various aspects of the challenges faced in building up the CO₂MVS 331

²⁶ e.g., WMO, Committee on Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) and Coordination Group for Meteorological Satellites (CGMS)

capacity are discussed in the so-called 'blue', 'red' and 'green' reports of the EC with a seriesof recommendations for actions.

Figure 3 sketches the planned development of the CO₂MVS and highlights the main milestones including the research components, namely:

- The ESA and EUMETSAT support studies²⁷ provide first input to the satellite system and product processing design, the product continuous calibration/ validation and monitoring, and conclude with the need for collocated measurements of NO₂ and aerosols (with both an NO₂-spectrometer and a multi-angle polarimeter on the CO₂M platform). The spatiotemporal coverage with a series of constellation configurations as well as the impact of the technical parameter-ranges have been estimated using well-defined assumptions.
- The H2020 projects CHE²⁸ and VERIFY²⁹ prepare for an improved modeling and data
- assimilation, quantifying more accurately fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ across Europe with
- 345 enhanced, more detailed emission inventories, separating the anthropogenic and
- natural emission components and their drivers by advanced modeling and accurate
- 347 characterization of the space-time variations of GHG fluxes.

²⁷ Carbon Cycle Fossil Fuel Data Assimilation System (CCFFDAS), Poor Man's Inversion Framework (PMIF), Satellite Measurements of Auxiliary Reactive Trace gases for fossil fuel CARBon dioxide emission estimation (SMARTCARB), Study on use of AERosol information for estimating fossil fuel CO₂ emissions (AEROCARB), Spectral Sizing study, Error budget study, E2F Simulator, System and instrumentation predevelopment, Airborne Carbon Dioxide Imager for Atmosphere (ACADIA), GHG Product Processing and Continuous Cal/Val Requirements Definition, Level-1 processing requirements for CO₂ monitoring mission, Definition of requirements for an integrated function for calibration, validation and monitoring of level 1 and Level 2 products for CO₂ monitoring mission, Top-Of-Atmosphere (TOA) simulations for the evaluation of data processing for the CO₂ monitoring mission.

²⁸ CHE stands for *CO*₂ *Human Emissions* and is the H2020 coordination support action project of the EC (https://che-project.eu/).

²⁹ VERIFY stands for *Observation-based monitoring and verification of greenhouse gases* and is a H2020 scientific research project of the EC (https://verify.lsce.ipsl.fr/)

Since 2015 the feasibility of the CO₂MVS has been explored. This phase is now successfully 348 349 concluded with the go-ahead for the concrete phase of implementation and integration. The UNFCCC-SBSTA (2019) recognized the full system approach for monitoring CO₂ and CH₄ from 350 space, combining satellite, in-situ and modeling components for emission estimates and 351 352 encouraging Parties to the Convention to engage the necessary resources and competence 353 to this endeavour. At a more public outreach level, it is also true that the visualisation of the 354 CO₂ emissions might be part of the more general solution to call for urgent climate action in 355 implementing the PA.

We have a clear understanding of the CO₂MVS, and the system architecture 356 implementation, although challenging, is within the means of EC, ESA, EUMETSAT and 357 358 ECMWF and the necessary coordination mechanisms. The timeline for implementation is 359 demanding but well defined, and the system is expected to provide from 2026 onwards preoperational outputs and insight, by visualising CO₂ emission plumes, and in particular the 360 effects of non-implemented reductions, globally. As the CO₂MVS will have been calibrated 361 362 over Europe, collaboration with our international partners is being actively pursued since 363 the beginning to make the best out of the observations, outside Europe as well.

364

366 Acknowledgements

Studies are conducted in preparation for a European capacity to monitor CO₂ anthropogenic emissions under the Coordination and Support Action H2020-EO-3-2017 with project *CHE* (CO₂ Human Emissions) and under the Research and Innovation Action H2020-SC5-4-2017 with project *VERIFY* (Observation-based monitoring and verification of greenhouse gases). The CHE and VERIFY projects have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreements No 776186 and 776810, respectively.

374 References

Agusti-Panareda, A., Diamantakis, M., Bayona, V., Klappenbach, F., and Butz, A.: Improving the interhemispheric gradient of total column atmospheric CO₂ and CH₄ in simulations with the ECMWF semiLagrangian atmospheric global model, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 1-18, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd10-1-2017, 2017

Basu, S., Guerlet, S., Butz, A., Houweling, S., Hasekamp, O., Aben, I., Krummel, P., Steele, P.,
Langenfelds, R., Torn, M., Biraud, S., Stephens, B., Andrews, A., and Worthy, D.: Global CO₂ fluxes
estimated from GOSAT retrievals of total column CO₂, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8695-8717,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8695-2013, 2013

383 Balsamo, G., Agusti-Panareda, A., Albergel, C., Arduini, G., Beljaars, A., Bidlot, J., Blyth, E., Bousserez, 384 N., Boussetta, S., Brown, A., Buizza, R., Buontempo, C., Chevallier, F., Choulga, M., Cloke, H., Cronin, 385 M.F., Dahoui, M., De Rosnay, P., Dirmeyer, P.A., Drusch, M., Dutra, E., Ek, M.B., Gentine, P., Hewitt, 386 H., Keeley, S.P., Kerr, Y., Kumar, S., Lupu, C., Mahfouf, J.-F., McNorton, J., Mecklenburg, S., 387 Mogensen, K., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Orth, R., Rabier, F., Reichle, R., Ruston, B., Pappenberger, F., 388 Sandu, I., Seneviratne, S.I., Tietsche, S., Trigo, I.F., Uijlenhoet, R., Wedi, N., Woolway, R.I., Zeng, X. 389 Satellite and In Situ Observations for Advancing Global Earth Surface Modelling: A Review. Remote 390 Sensing 2018, 10, 2018.

Bergamaschi, P., Krol, M., Meirink, J.F., Dentener, F., Segers, A., van Aardenne, J., Monni, S.,
Vermeulen, A.T., Schmidt, M., Ramonet, M., Yver, C., Meinhardt. F., Nisbet, E.G., Fisher, R.E.,
O'Doherty, S., Dlugokencky, E.J., Inverse modeling of European CH₄ emissions 2001-2006, J.
Geophys. Res. - Atm., Vol. 115 (D22), doi:10.1029/2010JD014180, 2010.

Bergamaschi, P., A. Danila, R. F. Weiss, P. Ciais, R. L. Thompson, D. Brunner, I. Levin, Y. Meijer, F.
Chevallier, G. Janssens-Maenhout, H. Bovensmann, D. Crisp, S. Basu, E. Dlugokencky, R. Engelen, C.
Gerbig, D. Günther, S. Hammer, S. Henne, S. Houweling, U. Karstens, E. Kort, M. Maione, A. J.

Manning, J. Miller, S. Montzka, S. Pandey, W. Peters, P. Peylin, B. Pinty, M. Ramonet, S. Reimann, T.
Röckmann, M. Schmidt, M. Strogies, J. Sussams, O. Tarasova, J. van Aardenne, A. T. Vermeulen, F.
Vogel: Atmospheric monitoring and inverse modelling for verification of greenhouse gas inventories,
EUR 29276 EN, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, ISBN 978-92-79-88938-7,
doi:10.2760/759928, JRC111789, 2018.

- Brunner, D., Kuhlmann, G., Marshall, J., Clément, V., Fuhrer, O., Broquet, G., Löscher, A., and Meijer,
 Y.: Accounting for the vertical distribution of emissions in atmospheric CO₂ simulations, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 19, 4541-4559, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-4541-2019, 2019.
- 406 Buchwitz, M., M. Reuter, O. Schneising, H. Boesch, S. Guerlet, B. Dils, I. Aben, R. Armante, P. 407 Bergamaschi, T. Blumenstock, H. Bovensmann, D. Brunner, B. Buchmann, J. P. Burrows, A. Butz, A. 408 Chedin, F. Chevallier, C. D. Crevoisier, N. M. Deutscher, C. Frankenberg, F. Hase, O. P. Hasekamp, J. 409 Heymann, T. Kaminski, A. Laeng, G. Lichtenberg, M. De Maziere, S. Noel, J. Notholt, J. Orphal, C. 410 Popp, R. Parker, M. Scholze, R. Sussmann, G. P. Stiller, T. Warneke, C. Zehner, A. Bril, D. Crisp, D. W. 411 T. Griffith, A. Kuze, C. ODell, S. Oshchepkov, V. Sherlock, H. Suto, P. Wennberg, D. Wunch, T. Yokota, 412 Y. Yoshida, The Greenhouse Gas Climate Change Initiative (GHG-CCI): comparison and quality 413 assessment of near-surface-sensitive satellite-derived CO₂ and CH₄ global data sets, Remote Sensing 414 of Environment, 162, 344-362, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2013.04.024, 2015.
- 415 Buchwitz, M., Reuter, M., Schneising, O., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Boesch, H., Anand, J.,
- 416 Parker, R., Detmers, R. G., Aben, I., Hasekamp, O. P., Crevoisier, C., Armante, R., Zehner, C.,
- 417 Schepers, D., Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Global Satellite Observations of Atmospheric
- 418 Carbon Dioxide and Methane, Adv. Astronaut. Sci. Technol., https://doi.org/10.1007/s42423-018-
- 419 0004-6, 2018.
- 420 Ciais, P., D. Crisp, H. Denier Van Der Gon, R. Engelen, M. Heimann, G. Janssens-Maenhout, P. Rayner
- 421 and M. Scholze: Towards a European Operational Observing System to Monitor Fossil CO₂ emissions,
- 422 European Commission ISBN 978-92-79-53482-9; doi 10.2788/350433, 2015.

Crisp, D., Fisher, B. M., O'Dell, C., Frankenberg, C., Basilio, R., Bösch, H., Brown, L. R., Castano, R.,
Connor, B., Deutscher, N. M., Eldering, A., Griffith, D., Gunson, M., Kuze, A., Mandrake, L., McDuffie,
J., Messerschmidt, J., Miller, C. E., Morino, I., Natraj, V., Notholt, J., O'Brien, D. M., Oyafuso, F.,
Polonsky, I., Robinson, J., Salawitch, R., Sherlock, V., Smyth, M., Suto, H., Taylor, T. E., Thompson, D.
R., Wennberg, P. O., Wunch, D., and Yung, Y. L.: The ACOS CO₂ retrieval algorithm – Part II: Global
XCO₂ data characterization, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 687-707, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-6872012, 2012.

430 Crisp, D., Meijer, Y., Munro, R., Bowman, K., Chatterjee, A., Baker, D., Chevallier, F., Nassar, R., 431 Palmer, P.I., Agusti-Panareda, A., Al-Saadi , J., Ariel, Y., Basu, S., Bergamaschi, P., Boesch, H., 432 Bousquet, P., Bovensmann, H., Bréon, F.M., Brunner, D., Buchwitz, M., Buisson, F., Burrows, J.P., 433 Butz, A., Clerbaux, C., Counet, P., Crevoisier, C., Crowell, S., Deniel, C., Dowell, M., Eckman, R., 434 Edwards, R., Ehret, G., Eldering, A., Engelen, R., Fisher, B., Germain, S., Hakkarainen, J., Hilsenrath, E., 435 Holmlund, K., Houweling, S., Hu, H., Jacob, D., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jones, D., Jouglet, D., 436 Kataoka, F., Kiel, M., Kulawik, S., Kuze, A., Lachance, R.L., Lang, R., Liu, J., Liu, Y., Maksyutov, S., 437 McKeever, J., Moore, B., Nakajima, M., Natraj, V., Nelson, R., Niwa, Y., Oda, T., O'Dell, C.W., Ott, L., Patra, P., Pawson, S., Payne, V., Pinty, B., Polavarapu, S.M., Retscher, C., Rosenberg, R., Schuh, A., 438 439 Schwandner, F.M., Shiomi, K., Su, W., Tamminen, J., Taylor, T.E., Veihelmann, B., Wofsy, S., Worden, 440 J., Wunch, D., Yang, D., Zhang, P., Zehner, C., A constellation architecture for monitoring carbon dioxide and methane from space, White paper of the CEOS Atmospheric Composition Virtual 441 Constellation Greenhouse Gas Team, report, doi: 10.2760/468219, ISBN 978-92-76-04080-4, EUR 442 443 29760 EN, 2018.

DeCola, P., Tarasova, O., The Integrated Global GHG Information System Science Team, The
Integrated Global GHG Information System First User Summit, Geophys. Res. Abstr., Vol. 21,
EGU2019-18026, 2019.

447 Dee, D., Uppala, S., Variational Biass Correction in ERA Interim, Technical Memorandum,
448 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266472984 Variational Bias Correction in ERA-

449 Interim, ECMWF Report 575, October 2008

Denier van der Gon, H., Hendriks, C., Kuenen, J., Segers, A., Visschedijk, A., Description of current
temporal emission patterns and sensitivity of predicted AQ for temporal emission patterns, TNO
report, EU FP7 MACC deliverable report D_D-EMIS_1.3,
https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/anthropogenic-and-natural-emissions, 2011.

DG CLIMA, Directorate General Climate Action of the European Commission, Communication form the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, A policy framework for climate and energy in the period from 2020 to 2030, COM(2014)15final, January 2014

ESA, European Space Agency, Copernicus CO₂ Monitoring Mission Requirements Document, version
2.0 of 27/09/19, ESA Earth and Mission Science Divisionl document ref. EOP-SM/3088/YM-ym,
https://esamultimedia.esa.int/docs/EarthObservation/CO2M_MRD_v2.0_Issued20190927.pdf, 2019

461 Frankenberg, C., Meirink, J.F., Van Weele, M., Platt, U., Wagner, T., Assessing Methane Emissions

462 from Global Space-Borne Observations, Science 308 (5724), 1010-1014, doi: 463 10.1126/science.1106644, 2005

464 Frankenberg, C., Hasekamp, O., O'Dell, C., Sanghavi, S., Butz, A., and Worden, J.: Aerosol information 465 content analysis of multi-angle high spectral resolution measurements and its benefit for high 466 accuracy retrievals, 1809-1821, greenhouse gas Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 467 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-1809-2012, 2012

468 Gaubert, B., Stephens, B. B., Basu, S., Chevallier, F., Deng, F., Kort, E. A., Patra, P. K., Peters, W., 469 Rödenbeck, C., Saeki, T., Schimel, D., Van der Laan-Luijkx, I., Wofsy, S., and Yin, Y.: Global 470 atmospheric CO₂ inverse models converging on neutral tropical land exchange, but disagreeing on
471 fossil fuel and atmospheric growth rate, Biogeosciences, 16, 117–134, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg472 16-117-2019, 2019

473 IPCC Guidelines of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) for National Greenhouse
474 Gas Inventories, https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/ (prepared by the National
475 Greenhouse Gas Inventory Programme and published by the Institute for Global Environmental
476 Strategies, IPCC-TSU NGGIP, IGES), Eggleston, S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanable, K. (ed.),
477 Hayama - Japan, 2006

IPCC-TFI, Calvo Buendia, E., Guendehou, S., Limmeechokchai, B., Pipatti, R., Rojas, Y., Sturgiss, R.,
Tanabe, K., Wirth, T., Romano, D., Witi, J., Garg, A., Weitz, M.M., Bofeng, C., Ottinger, D.A., Dong, H.,
MacDonald, J.D., Ogle, S.M., Theoto Rocha, M., Sanz Sanchez, M.J., Bartram, D.M., Towprayoon, S.,
2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Gomez, D.
and Irving, W. (ed.), Vol1. Ch.8, May 2019.

Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Dentener, F.,
Bergamaschi, P., Pagliari, V., Olivier, J. G. J., Peters, J. A. H. W., van Aardenne, J. A., Monni, S.,
Doering, U., Petrescu, A. M. R., Solazzo, E., and Oreggioni, G. D.: EDGAR v4.3.2 Global Atlas of the
three major greenhouse gas emissions for the period 1970–2012, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 959-1002,
https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-959-2019, 2019.

Kaminski, T., Scholze, M., Rayner, P.J., Voßbeck, M., Buchwitz, M., Reuter, M., Knorr, W., Chen, H.,
Agustí-Panareda, A., Löscher, A., and Meijer, Y.: Atmospheric CO₂ observations from space can
support national inventories, submitted to Nature Climate Change, 2019

Karion, A., Sweeney, C., Tans, P., Newberger, T., AirCore: An Innovative Atmospheric Sampling
System, J. of Atmospheric and Oceanic Techn., Vol. 27, pp. 1839-1853, doi:
10.1175/2010JTECHA1448.1, 2010

Accepted for publication in Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. DOI 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0017.1.

Kuhlmann, G., Broquet, G., Marshall J., Clement, V., Löscher, A., Meijer, Y., Brunner, D., Detectability
of CO₂ emission plumes of cities and power plants with the Copernicus Anthropogenic CO₂
Monitoring (CO₂M) mission, Atm. Meas. Techn. Disc., doi: 10.5194/amt-2019-180, 2019.

Leelőssy, A., Molnar, F.Jr., Izsak, F., Havasi, A., Lagzi, I., Meszaros, R., Dispersion modelling of air
pollutants in the atmosphere: a review, Cent. Eur. J. Geosci. 6 (3), p..267-278, doi:10.2478/s13533012-0188-6, 2014.

Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., Manning, A. C., Korsbakken, J. I.,

501 Peters, G. P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Boden, T. A., Tans, P. P., Andrews, O. D., Arora, V. K.,

502 Bakker, D. C. E., Barbero, L., Becker, M., Betts, R. A., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Ciais, P.,

503 Cosca, C. E., Cross, J., Currie, K., Gasser, T., Harris, I., Hauck, J., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Hunt, C.

504 W., Hurtt, G., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Kautz, M., Keeling, R. F., Klein Goldewijk, K., Körtzinger,

A., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S., Lima, I., Lombardozzi, D., Metzl, N., Millero,

506 F., Monteiro, P. M. S., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-I., Nojiri, Y., Padin, X. A., Peregon,

507 A., Pfeil, B., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Rehder, G., Reimer, J., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R.,

508 Skjelvan, I., Stocker, B. D., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Tubiello, F. N., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., van der

509 Werf, G. R., van Heuven, S., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Walker, A. P., Watson, A. J., Wiltshire, A. J.,

510 Zaehle, S., and Zhu, D.: Global Carbon Budget 2017, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 10, 405-448,

511 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-405-2018, 2018

Levin, I., Kromer, B., Schmidt, M., Sartorius, H.: A novel approach for independent budgeting of fossil
 fuel CO₂ over Europe by ¹⁴CO₂ observations, Geophysical Research Letters, 30(23), 2194,
 doi:10.1029/2003GL018477, 2003

Nassar, R., Napier-Linton, L., Gurney, K.R., Andres, R.J., Oda, T., Vogel, F.R., Deng, F.: Improving the
temporal and spatial distribution of CO₂ emissions from global fossil fuel emission data sets, J.
Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 917–933, doi:10.1029/2012JD018196, 2013

- Nassar, R., Hill, T.G., McLinden, C.A., Wunch, D., Jones, B.A., Crisp, D.: Quantifying CO₂ emissions
 from individual power plants from space, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, doi:
 10.1002/2017GL074702, 2017.
- Olivier, J.G.J., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Muntean, M., Peters, J.A.H.W: Trends in global CO₂ emissions:
 2016 report, JRC 103425, <u>https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/news_docs/jrc-2016-trends-in-global-co2-</u>
 emissions-2016-report-103425.pdf, 2016
- Peters, G.P., Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R.M., Canadell, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ilyina, T., Jackson, R.B., Joos,
 F., Korsbakken, J.I., McKinley, G.A., Sitch, S., Tans, P.: Towards real-time verification of CO₂
 emissions, Nature Climate Change 7, pp. 848–850, 7, pages848–850, doi: 10.1038/s41558-017-00139, 2017.
- Pinty B., G. Janssens-Maenhout, M. Dowell, H. Zunker, T. Brunhes, P. Ciais, D. Dee, H. Denier van der
 Gon, H. Dolman, M. Drinkwater, R. Engelen, M. Heimann, K. Holmlund, R. Husband, A. Kentarchos, Y.
 Meijer, P. Palmer and M. Scholze: An Operational Anthropogenic CO₂ Emissions Monitoring &
 Verification Support capacity Baseline Requirements, Model Components and Functional
 Architecture, doi: 10.2760/08644, European Commission Joint Research Centre, EUR 28736 EN, 2017
- Pinty B., P. Ciais, D. Dee, H. Dolman, M. Dowell, R. Engelen, K. Holmlund, G. Janssens-Maenhout, Y.
 Meijer, P. Palmer, M. Scholze, H. Denier van der Gon, M. Heimann, R. Husband, O. Juvyns, A.
 Kentarchos and H. Zunker (2019) An Operational Anthropogenic CO₂ Emissions Monitoring &
 Verification Support Capacity Needs and high level requirements for *in situ* measurements,
 European Commission Joint Research Centre, doi: 10.2760/182790, ISBN 978-92-76-09004-5, EUR
 29817 EN, 2019.
- Pouliot, G., Pierce, T, Denier van der Gon, H., Schaap, M., Nopmongcol, U., Comparing Emissions
 Inventories and Model-Ready Emissions Datasets between Europe and North America for the
 AQMEII Project. Atmospheric Environment (AQMEII issue) 53, 4–14, 2012.

Accepted for publication in Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society. DOI 10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0017.1.

Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Schneising, O., Krautwurst, S., O'Dell, C. W., Richter, A., Bovensmann, H.,
and Burrows, J. P.: Towards monitoring localized CO₂ emissions from space: co-located regional CO₂
and NO₂ enhancements observed by the OCO-2 and S5P satellites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 93719383, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-9371-2019, 2019.

- 546 Schneising, O., Heymann, J., Buchwitz, M., Reuter, M., Bovensmann, H., and Burrows, J. P.: 547 Anthropogenic carbon dioxide source areas observed from space: assessment of regional 548 enhancements and trends, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2445-2454, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-549 2445-2013, 2013.
- Schwandner, F.M., Verhulst, K., Eldering, A. Miller, C., Nguyen, H., Kahn, B., Oda, T., Rao, P., O'Dell,
 C., Crisp, D., Frankenberg, C., Gunson, M., Pollock, H.: Spaceborne detection of localized CO₂ sources,
 Science 358, doi: 10.1126/science.aam5782, 2017.
- 553 Super, I., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., van der Molen, M. K., Sterk, H. A. M., Hensen, A., and Peters,
- 554 W.: A multi-model approach to monitor emissions of CO₂ and CO from an urban–industrial complex,

555 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 13297-13316, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-13297-2017, 2017.

556 Tans, P., An accounting of the observed increase in oceanic and atmospheric CO₂ and an outlook for

557 the future. *Oceanography* Vol **22**, pp. 26-35, doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2009.94, 2009

- 558 Turnbull, J. C., Miller, J.B., Lehman, S.J., Tans, P.P., Sparks, R.J., Southon, J.: Comparison of ¹⁴CO₂, CO,
- and SF₆ as tracers for recently added fossil fuel CO₂ in the atmosphere and implications for biological
- 560 CO₂ exchange, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L01817, doi:10.1029/2005GL024213, 2006
- 561 UNECE–CLRTAP Convention on Long Range Transboundary Air Pollution, decision 2013/3 & 2013/4
- 562 ECE/Ab.AIR/122/Add.1, http://www.unece.org/env/lrtap/executivebody/eb_decision.html, 2013.

- 563 UNFCCC, The Paris Agreement, done at COP21 on 12th December 2015, at Paris,
 564 <u>http://unfccc.int/files/essential_background/convention/application/pdf/english_paris_agreement.</u>
 565 pdf, 2015.
- 566 UNFCCC-SBSTA (2017) Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, 47th session, 567 (FCCC/SBSTA/2017/L.21) <u>https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2017/sbsta/eng/01.pdf</u>, Bonn, 8-18 May 568 2017.
- 569 UNFCCC-SBSTA (2019) Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, 51st session,
 570 (FCCC/SBSTA/2019/L.15) <u>https://undocs.org/FCCC/SBSTA/2019/L.15</u>, Madrid, 2-9 December 2019.
- 571 Yoshida, Y., Kikuchi, N., Morino, I., Uchino, O., Oshchepkov, S., Bril, A., Saeki, T., Schutgens, N., Toon,
- 572 G. C., Wunch, D., Roehl, C. M., Wennberg, P. O., Griffith, D. W. T., Deutscher, N. M., Warneke, T.,
- 573 Notholt, J., Robinson, J., Sherlock, V., Connor, B., Rettinger, M., Sussmann, R., Ahonen, P., Heikkinen,
- 574 P., Kyrö, E., Mendonca, J., Strong, K., Hase, F., Dohe, S., and Yokota, T.: Improvement of the retrieval
- 575 algorithm for GOSAT SWIR XCO₂ and XCH₄ and their validation using TCCON data, Atmos. Meas.
- 576 Tech., 6, 1533-1547, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-1533-2013, 2013.
- 577 Wang, Y., Ciais, P., Broquet, G., Bréon, F.-M., Oda, T., Lespinas, F., Meijer, Y., Loescher, A., Janssens-
- 578 Maenhout, G., Zheng, B., Xu, H., Tao, S., Gurney, K. R., Roest, G., Santaren, D., and Su, Y.: A global
- 579 map of emission clumps for future monitoring of fossil fuel CO₂ emissions from space, Earth Syst. Sci.
- 580 Data, 11, 687-703, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-687-2019, 2019.

582 Figures

583 1) Figure captions

584

585	Fig. 1: Schematic overview of the planned anthropogenic CO_2MVS capacity: prior
586	information with first best estimate of the GHG emission inventories and their
587	uncertainties (green, discussed in 2.1), observations with space-borne, in-situ,
588	auxiliary data including meteorology data (dark blue, discussed in 2.2), integration
589	and attribution processes with a core model (light blue, discussed in 2.3), the output
590	with consolidated results (yellow, discussed in 2.4), and the decision support process
591	with actionable information for policy makers (purple, discussed in 2.5). Data-
592	focused components have a full border, whereas process-focused components have a
593	dashed border.
594	
595	Fig. 2: Interplay between bottom-up estimates (panel left based on human activity
596	data) and top-down estimates (panel right based on space-borne or in-situ
597	observations) in the modelling chain, covering different scales from global to local. It
598	remains challenging to monitor and verify a country's annual inventory (represented
599	by the coloured patchwork over Europe) based on atmospheric observations over
600	time.
601	
602	Fig. 3: Timeline for the development of a European operational GHG Monitoring and
603	Verification Support capacity with the exploratory phase, the
604	implementation/integration phase and the operational phase. The exploratory phase
605	concluded with the reports of the CO ₂ Monitoring Task Force (the blue CO ₂ report of

606	Ciais et al. (2015), the red CO_2 report of Pinty et al. (2017) and the green CO_2 report
607	of Pinty et al. (2019)), the Mission Requirements Document (MRD) of ESA (versions
608	1.0 and 2.0) and the CEOS White paper of Crisp et al. (2018). In the exploratory
609	phase, different Research & Development studies have been launched by the EC
610	(under the Horizon 2020 Research Framework programme), ESA and EUMETSAT in
611	support of the CO ₂ MVS design (<i>green arrow</i>). In addition, ESA and EUMETSAT
612	launched studies to further develop the space component (orange arrow) and the
613	ground segment (purple arrow), respectively.

616 Figures: Figure 1





630 2) Figures: Figure 2

631





Fig. 2: Interplay between bottom-up estimates (panel left based on human activity data) and
top-down estimates (panel right based on space-borne or in-situ observations) in the
modelling chain, covering different scales from global to local. Obviously, it is challenging to
monitor and verify a country's annual inventory (represented by the coloured patchwork
over Europe) based on atmospheric observations over time.

640 3) Figures: Figure 3





Fig. 3: Timeline for the development of a European operational GHG Monitoring and 643 Verification Support capacity with the exploratory phase, the 644 implementation/integration phase and the operational phase. The exploratory phase is 645 concluded with the reports of the CO₂ Monitoring Task Force (the blue CO₂ report of 646 Ciais et al. (2015), the red CO2 report of Pinty et al. (2017) and the green CO2 report of 647 Pinty et al. (2019)), the Mission Requirements Document (MRD) of ESA (versions 1.0 and 648 2.0) and the CEOS White paper of Crisp et al. (2018). In the exploratory phase, different 649 Research & Development studies have been launched by the EC (under the Horizon 2020 650 Research Framework programme), ESA and EUMETSAT in support of the CO₂MVS design 651 (green arrow). In addition, ESA and EUMETSAT launched studies to further develop the 652 space component (orange arrow) and the ground segment (purple arrow), respectively. 653

654

655 Tables

Table 1: High-level policy needs as identified by Pinty et al. (2017).

657

	High-level requirements for the CO ₂ MVS for policy makers	Technically Implied	Space & time
		Accuracy Requirement ³⁰	resolution
1	Detection of emitting hot spots such as megacities or power-plants.	46 kton CO ₂ /yr/km ²	2x2km ² pixel; daily
2	Monitoring the hot spot emissions to assess emission reductions/increases.	1 kton CO ₂ /yr/km ²	2x2km ² pixel; daily
3	Assessing emission changes against local reduction targets to monitor NDCs.	0.2 kton CO ₂ /yr/km ²	0.1°x0.1°; monthly
4	Assessing the national emissions and changes in 5-year time steps for the GST	0.2 kton CO ₂ /yr/country	Country area; yearly

658

³⁰ First order estimate from the Pinty et al. (2017) report

- 660 Table 2: Comparison of the technical specifications of the Copernicus CO₂M satellite to some
- 661 currently available sensors (with input of Buchwitz et al., 2018). A constellation of 3 CO₂M
- 662 satellites by 2026 is considered.
- 663

Requirements for XCO ₂	GOSAT2 (Japan)	OCO-2 (USA)	TanSat (China)	CO ₂ M (EU)
Random Error and	≤0.5 ppm (CO ₂)	≤0.5 ppm	≤1-4 ppm	≤0.5-0.7 ppm
systematic biases	≤5ppb (CH₄)			
Spatial resolution	0			
	74km ²	2.3x1.3km ²	2x2km ²	2x2km ²
Swath width	5-point sampling on 1000km track	10km 10km	10 km 10 km	240 km 240 km
Revisit	3 days	16 days	16 days	2-3 days with 3 satellites
Orbit equator crossing	13:00 (ascending)	13:36 (ascending)	13:39 (ascending)	11:30 (descending)

664

Table 3: Scientific and operational attributes of the core models. *The attributes in italics are*

668 considered optional.

	Qualitative model attributes
Technical	High spatial resolution (few km), to minimize representation errors and averaging of observations
Scientific	High vertical resolution to match ground based data (incl. emission injection height variations)
attributes	Global coverage (in which regional models could be nested)
	Atmospheric chemistry scheme for at least NO ₂ and tracers
	Vegetation model driven by <i>in-situ</i> measurements and using remotely sensed phenology
	Consistent vegetation energy budget and CO ₂ flux simulation with atmospheric tracer transport, which is systematically
	evaluated against wind and tracer data.
	Urban land surface scheme coupled with atmospheric model
Operational	Near real time response capability of the data assimilation system
attributes	Including the atmospheric transport uncertainties in the data assimilation core model
	Capability to assimilate near real time information about emissions
	Capability for reanalysis – reprocessing of all data (incl. data storage)
	Forecast capabilities
	Emission modeling capabilities